arXiv:math/0501263v1 [math.OA] 18 Jan 2005

Approximate AF flows

Akitaka Kishimoto
Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University
Sapporo, 060-0810 Japan

Dedicated to George A. Elliott on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday

Abstract

When α is a flow on a unital AF algebra A such that there is an increasing sequence (A_n) of finite-dimensional α-invariant C*-subalgebras of A with dense union, we call α an AF flow. We show that an *approximate* AF flow is a cocycle perturbation of an AF flow.

1 Introduction

Let α be a flow on a unital C*-algebra A, i.e., $t \mapsto \alpha_t$ is a group homomorphism of the real line $\mathbf{R}$ into the automorphism group of A such that $t \mapsto \alpha_t(x)$ is continuous for each $x \in A$. If u is a continuous map of $\mathbf{R}$ into the unitary group $\mathcal{U}(A)$ of A such that $u_s\alpha_s(u_t) = u_{s+t},\ s,t \in \mathbf{R}$, then u is called an α-cocycle. In this case $t \mapsto \operatorname{Ad} u_t\alpha_t$ is a flow again and is called a cocycle perturbation of α.

We denote by δ_α the generator of α, which is a closed derivation defined on a dense $*$-subalgebra $\mathcal{D}(\delta_\alpha)$ [3, 20]. If the α-cocycle u is differentiable and $h = -idu_t/dt|_{t=0} \in A_{sa}$, then the generator of the flow $t \mapsto \operatorname{Ad} u_t\alpha_t$ is given by $\delta_\alpha + \operatorname{ad} ih$. If the α-cocycle u is a coboundary, i.e., $u_t = w\alpha_t(w^*)$ for some $w \in \mathcal{U}(A)$, then the generator of the flow $t \mapsto \operatorname{Ad} u_t\alpha_t$ is given by $\operatorname{Ad} w \circ \delta_\alpha \circ \operatorname{Ad} w^*$ on $\operatorname{Ad} w(\mathcal{D}(\delta_\alpha))$. In general the α-cocycle is given as a combination of the above two types [12].

If A is an AF algebra [1] and has an increasing sequence (A_n) of finite-dimensional C*-subalgebras of A such that $\bigcup_n A_n$ is dense in A and $\alpha_t(A_n) = A_n$ for all $t \in \mathbf{R}$ and all n, then α is called an *AF flow*. Note that in this case there is a self-adjoint element $h_n \in A_n$ such that $\alpha_t|A_n = \operatorname{Ad} e^{ith_n}|A_n$. It also follows that $\mathcal{D}(\delta_\alpha) \supset A_n$, $\delta_\alpha|A_n = \operatorname{ad} ih_n|A_n$, and $\bigcup_n A_n$ is a core for δ_α. Since $[h_m, h_n] = 0$, the generator of this type is called *commutative* and is studied in Sakai's book [20] (see also [12, 2, 7]). In particular α is approximately inner in the sense that $\lim_n \operatorname{Ad} e^{ith_n}(x) = \alpha_t(x)$ uniformly in t on every bounded subset of $\mathbf{R}$ for all $x \in A$.

AF flows (on a UHF algebra) appear as time-flows for classical lattice models in physics and look manageable for analysis (e.g., the KMS states have explicit expressions [20]). There are time-flows for quantum lattice models which are not obviously cocycle perturbations of AF flows, but we still lack a rigorous proof to that effect though we know that there are flows which are not cocycle perturbations of AF flows. Our main concern is to distinguish the class of cocycle perturbations of AF flows among the flows which occur in physical models and thus to understand the flows beyond this class better. In this note we give a characterization of this class.

When B and C are C*-subalgebras of A, we write $B \overset{\delta}{\subset} C$ if for any $x \in B$ there is $y \in C$ such that $\|x-y\| \leq \delta\|x\|$. We define the distance of B and C by

$$\mathrm{dist}(B,C)=\inf\{\delta>0 \mid B \overset{\delta}{\subset} C,\ C \overset{\delta}{\subset} B\}.$$

If α is an AF flow, then a cocycle perturbation α' of α may not be an AF flow but an *approximate AF flow* in the sense that $\sup_{t\in[0,1]} \mathrm{dist}(\alpha'_t(A_n),A_n) \to 0$, where the sequence (A_n) is chosen for α as above. Our main purpose is to show the converse:

Theorem 1.1 *Let α be a flow on a unital AF algebra A. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *α is a cocycle perturbation of an AF flow.*
2. *α is an approximate AF flow, i.e., there is an increasing sequence (A_n) of finite-dimensional C*-subalgebras of A such that $\bigcup_n A_n$ is dense in A and*

$$\sup_{t\in[0,1]} \mathrm{dist}(\alpha_t(A_n),A_n) \to 0$$

as $n\to\infty$.

As noted above, the former implies the latter. In the rest of this note we shall prove that the latter implies the former. Since the latter condition is preserved under cocycle perturbations, it suffices to show:

Lemma 1.2 *For any $\epsilon>0$ there exists a $\delta>0$ satisfying the following condition: If α is an approximate AF flow, i.e., there is an increasing sequence (A_n) of finite-dimensional C*-subalgebras of A such that $\bigcup_n A_n$ is dense in A and*

$$\delta_n \equiv \sup_{t\in[0,1]} \mathrm{dist}(\alpha_t(A_n),A_n) \to 0$$

as $n\to\infty$ with $\delta_1\leq\delta$, there is an α-cocycle u such that $\|u_t-1\|<\epsilon$ for $t\in[0,1]$ and $\mathrm{Ad}\, u_t\alpha_t(A_1)=A_1$.

Moreover if A_0 is a C-subalgebra of A_1 such that $\alpha_t(A_0)=A_0$, then u can be chosen from $A\cap A'_0$.*

Remark 1.3 For a single automorphism α of a unital AF algebra A the following conditions are equivalent:

1. For any $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and an increasing sequence (A_n) of finite-dimensional C*-subalgebras of A such that $\bigcup_n A_n$ is dense in A and $\mathrm{Ad}\,u\,\alpha(A_n) = A_n$ for all n.

2. There is an increasing sequence (A_n) of finite-dimensional C*-subalgebras of A such that $\bigcup_n A_n$ is dense in A and

$$\mathrm{dist}(\alpha(A_n), A_n) \to 0$$

   as $n \to \infty$.

This follows from a deep result of Christensen's (see 5.3 of [6]), which will be also used in the proof of our main result.

There is a totally different sufficient condition for α that implies the above condition 1, i.e., which says that α has the Rohlin property and α_* is the identity on the dimension group $K_0(A)$. See [21, 11, 8]. It is certainly desirable to find a sufficient condition like this for AF flows.

Remark 1.4 Theorem 1.1 might hold for non-unital AF algebras, where the α-cocycle should be understood as a function into the multiplier algebra with continuity for the strict topology. But in this case it follows, by the same proof, that 2 implies 1, but it is not obvious how to prove that 1 implies 2.

The main theorem 1.1 is what we should have settled sooner or later after singling out AF flows, but is not likely to be useful to distinguish the class of cocycle perturbations of AF flows. However there are some other attempts to characterize this class. In section 2 we will briefly survey them. In section 3 we will present a key idea for proving the main theorem in the setting of matrix algebras, which is a degenerate case of Prop. 4.1 for the UHF algebra (see below). Letting M_N to be the C*-algebra of $N \times N$ matrices, what will be shown is as follows:

Proposition 1.5 *For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following condition: Let $A = M_N$ for some $N \in \mathbf{N}$, B a unital $*$-subalgebra of A with $B \cong M_K$ for some K dividing N, and $H \in A_{sa}$ such that*

$$e^{itH} B e^{-itH} \overset{\delta}{\subset} B$$

for $t \in [0,1]$. Then there exist a $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and $h \in A_{sa}$ such that $\|u - 1\| < \epsilon$, $\|h\| < \epsilon$, and

$$u^* e^{it(H+h)} u B u^* e^{-it(H+h)} u = B, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

In the above situation let $\alpha_t = \mathrm{Ad}\, e^{itH}$, which defines a flow on $A = M_N$, and let $v_t = u^* e^{it(H+h)} u e^{-itH}$. Then note that $\mathrm{Ad}\, v_t \alpha_t(B) = B$ and that $v : t \mapsto v_t$ is an α-cocycle such that $\sup_{t\in[0,1]} \|v_t - 1\| < 3\epsilon$.

In section 4 we will give a version 4.1 of the main theorem for UHF flows [14], where α is a UHF flow on A if A is a UHF algebra and there is a sequence (A_n) of unital matrix C*-subalgebras of A with dense union and $\alpha_t(A_n) = A_n,\ n \in \mathbf{N},\ t \in \mathbf{R}$. Some of the technical results hold for more general unital C*-algebras.

To go from UHF flows to AF flows, we will need a version of the following result, which is valid for any C*-algebras.

Proposition 1.6 *For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following condition: Let A be a unital C*-algebra and α be a flow on A. If D is a unital finite-dimensional C*-subalgebra of A such that*

$$\sup_{|t|\leq 1} \|(\alpha_t - \mathrm{id})|D\| < \delta,$$

then there is an α-cocycle u such that $\mathrm{Ad}\, u_t\alpha_t|D = \mathrm{id}$ and

$$\max_{|t|\leq 1} \|u_t - 1\| < \epsilon.$$

What is important here is that δ does not depend on D (or the size of D). In section 5 we will prove the above proposition and then derive the main result.

2 AF flows

For a C*-algebra A we denote by $\ell^\infty(A)$ the C*-algebra of bounded sequences in A and by $c_0(A)$ the ideal of $\ell^\infty(A)$ consisting of $x = (x_n)$ for which $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|x_n\| = 0$ and let $A^\infty = \ell^\infty(A)/c_0(A)$. We embed A into A^∞ by regarding each $x \in A$ as the constant sequence $(x, x, \ldots)$. Given a flow α on A we denote by $\ell^\infty_\alpha(A)$ the C*-subalgebra of $x = (x_n) \in \ell^\infty(A)$ for which $t \mapsto \alpha_t(x) = (\alpha_t(x_n))$ is norm-continuous and define A^∞_α as its image in A^∞. We naturally have the flow $\overline{\alpha}$ on A^∞_α induced by α. We will also denote by α the restriction of $\overline{\alpha}$ to $A' \cap A^\infty_\alpha$.

The following properties shared by AF flows and their cocycle perturbations could be used to distinguish them from other flows [13, 2]; similar properties are also considered for Rohlin flows [15, 16].

Proposition 2.1 *Let A be a unital AF algebra and let α be a cocycle perturbation of an AF flow on A. Then $(A^\infty_\alpha \cap A')^\alpha$ has real rank zero and has trivial K_1. Moreover $(A^\infty_\alpha)^\alpha$ has real rank zero and trivial K_1.*

Proof. The latter part is shown in 3.8 of [2] and 3.6 and 4.1 of [13]. The first part also follows similarly; but we will indicate how to prove it.

Apparently we may suppose that α is an AF flow. Hence we suppose that there is an increasing sequence (A_n) of α-invariant finite-dimensional C^*-subalgebras of A with dense union.

Let $b^* = b \in (A_\alpha^\infty \cap A')^\alpha$. Then there is a bounded sequence (b_n) in A_{sa} such that $b \sim (b_n)$ (i.e., $b = (b_n) + c_0(A)$). We may suppose that $\|\delta_\alpha(b_n)\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ and that there are increasing sequences (k_n) and (ℓ_n) in $\mathbf{N}$ such that $k_n < \ell_n$, $k_n \to \infty$, and $b_n \in B_n \equiv A_{\ell_n} \cap A'_{k_n}$. (The latter follows because $\bigcup_n A_n$ is a core for δ_α.) Since B_n is α-invariant and finite-dimensional, there is a $h_n^* = h_n \in B_n$ such that $\delta_\alpha | B_n = \operatorname{ad} h_n | B_n$. Since $\|[h_n, b_n]\| \to 0$ and $h_n, b_n \in (B_n)_{sa}$, we get $h'_n, b'_n \in B_n$ such that $\|h_n - h'_n\| \to 0$, $\|b_n - b'_n\| \to 0$, and $[h'_n, b'_n] = 0$ (see 3.1 of [2], which is an improvement of Lin's result [17]).

Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let F be a finite subset of the spectrum $\operatorname{Spec}(b)$ of b such that any $\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec}(b)$ has $p \in F$ such that $|\lambda - p| < \epsilon$. Then we find a $b''_n \in (B_n)_{sa}$ such that b''_n is a function of b'_n, $\limsup_n \|b'_n - b''_n\| < \epsilon$, and $\operatorname{Spec}(b''_n) \subset F$. Then (b''_n) defines a self-adjoint element $c \in (A_\alpha^\infty \cap A')^\alpha$ such that $\|c - b\| < \epsilon$ and $\operatorname{Spec}(c) \subset F$, which is finite. This concludes the proof that $(A_\alpha^\infty \cap A')^\alpha$ has real rank zero.

Let u be a unitary in $(A_\alpha^\infty \cap A')^\alpha$. Then as before we may suppose that there is a sequence (u_n) in $\mathcal{U}(A)$ and increasing sequences (ℓ_n) and (k_n) in $\mathbf{N}$ such that $k_n < \ell_n$, $k_n \to \infty$, $u_n \in A_{\ell_n} \cap A'_{k_n}$, and $\|\delta_\alpha(u_n)\| \to 0$. There is an $h_n^* = h_n \in B_n \equiv A_{\ell_n} \cap A'_{k_n}$ such that $\delta_\alpha | B_n = \operatorname{ad} ih_n | B_n$. Then by using the condition that $\|[u_n, h_n]\| \to 0$, we apply 4.1 of [13]. $\square$

There are some examples of approximately inner flows on an AF algebra without the above types of properties (see Section 3 of [13] and 3.11 of [2], where only the properties for $(A_\alpha^\infty)^\alpha$ are explicitly mentioned). Those examples are of the following type. Let C be a maximal abelian C*-subalgebra (masa) of A and choose a sequence (h_n) in C_{sa} such that the graph limit δ of $(\operatorname{ad} ih_n)$ is densely-defined and hence generates a flow [3, 20]. This is what we have as the examples and might be called a *quasi AF flow* (or a *commutative flow* following [20]). Note that the domain $\mathcal{D}(\delta)$ of δ contains the masa C (which is actually a Cartan masa in our examples); but depending on (h_n) it may contain another masa as well. (We know of no example of a generator whose domain does not contain a masa.)

Remark 2.2 For a flow α on a unital simple AF algebra A it is shown in [13] that α is a cocycle perturbation of an AF flow if and only if the domain $\mathcal{D}(\delta_\alpha)$ contains a canonical AF masa of A, where C is a canonical AF masa if there is an increasing sequence (A_n) of finite-dimensional C*-subalgebras of A with dense union such that C is the closure of $\bigcup_n C \cap A_n \cap A'_{n-1}$ with $A_0 = 0$.

We note the following uniqueness result for canonical AF masas (cf. [19]).

Proposition 2.3 *The canonical AF masas of an AF algebra are unique up to automorphism, i.e., if A is an AF algebra and C_1 and C_2 are canonical AF masas of A, then there is an automorphism ϕ of A such that $\phi(C_1) = C_2$.*

Proof. There are increasing sequences (A_n) and (B_n) of finite-dimensional C*-subalgebras of A such that $\bigcup_n A_n$ and $\bigcup_n B_n$ are dense in A and C_1 (resp. C_2) is the closure $\bigcup_n C_1 \cap A_n \cap A'_{n-1}$ (resp.$\bigcup_n C_2 \cap B_n \cap B'_{n-1}$). By passing to subsequences, we find sequences (u_n) and (v_n) in $\mathcal{U}(A)$ such that $u_1 = 1$, $\|u_n - 1\| < 2^{-n}$, $\|v_n - 1\| < 2^{-n}$, $u_{n+1} \in \mathrm{Ad}(u_n u_{n-1} \cdots u_1)(A_n)'$, $v_{n+1} \in \mathrm{Ad}(v_n \cdots v_1)(B_n)'$, and

$$\mathrm{Ad}(u_n \cdots u_1)(A_n) \subset \mathrm{Ad}(v_n \cdots v_1)(B_n) \subset \mathrm{Ad}(u_{n+1} u_n \cdots u_1)(A_{n+1}),$$

for all n. Let $u = \lim_n u_n u_{n-1} \cdots u_1$ and $v = \lim_n v_n \cdots v_1$. Then u and v are unitaries in A (or $A + \mathbf{C}1$ if $A \not\ni 1$) such that $uA_n u^* \subset vB_n v^* \subset uA_{n+1} u^*$ for all n. Since $uC_1 u^*$ and $vC_2 v^*$ are also canonical AF masas, we may suppose that $A_n \subset B_n \subset A_{n+1}$. We choose maximal abelian C* subalgebras D_n of $B_n \cap A'_n$ for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ and E_n of $A_{n+1} \cap B_n$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ with $B_0 = 0$. Then the C*-subalgebra D generated by D_n and E_n for all n is a canonical AF masa of A. Since the C*-algebra generated by D_n and E_n is isomorphic to $C_1 \cap A_{n+1} \cap A'_n$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ with $D_0 = 0$, there is a unitary $u_n \in A_{n+1} \cap A'_n + 1$ such that $\mathrm{Ad}\, u_n(C_1 \cap A_{n+1} \cap A'_n) = C^*(D_n, E_n)$. Since the limit of $\mathrm{Ad}(u_0 u_1 u_2 \cdots u_n)$ defines an automorphism of A, there is an automorphism ϕ_1 of A such that $\phi_1(C_1) = D$. Similarly, since the C*-algebra generated by E_n and D_{n+1} is isomorphic to $C_2 \cap B_{n+1} \cap B'_n$, there is an automorphism ϕ_2 such that $\phi_2(C_2) = D$. Thus $\phi_2^{-1}\phi_1(C_1) = C_2$, which concludes the proof. □

3 Matrix algebras

In this section we shall prove Proposition 1.5.

Let τ denote the unique tracial state of $A = M_N$, i.e., $\tau = (1/N)\mathrm{Tr}$, and define an inner product on A by $\langle x, y\rangle = \tau(y^* x) = \tau(xy^*)$, $x, y \in A$. Equipped with this inner product, A is an N^2-dimensional Hilbert space, which we will denote by A_τ. We define a representation ρ on A_τ of the tensor product $A \otimes A$ by $\rho(x \otimes y)\xi = x\xi y^t$, $\xi \in A_\tau$ and a representation π of A by $\pi(x) = \rho(x \otimes 1)$, $x \in A$. Here y^t denotes the tanspose of $y \in A = M_N$. Note that ρ is irreducible and the state ω of $A \otimes A$ defined by $\omega(x \otimes y) = \langle \rho(x \otimes y)1, 1\rangle = \tau(xy^t)$ satisfies the condition that $\omega | B \otimes B^t$ is pure because $B \otimes B^t \cong M_{K^2}$ and the subspace $\rho(B \otimes B^t)1 = B$ is K^2-dimensional.

Let $U_t = \exp it(H \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes H^t) = e^{it(H \otimes 1)} e^{-it(1 \otimes H^t)}$ and let γ denote the flow $t \mapsto \mathrm{Ad}\, U_t$ on $A \otimes A$. Then $\gamma_s(x \otimes y^t) = \alpha_s(x) \otimes \alpha_s(y)^t$ for $x, y \in A$, where α is the flow on A defined by $\alpha_t(x) = \mathrm{Ad}\, e^{itH}(x)$. We should note that U has the following properties: $\rho(U_t)1 = 1$ and $\mathrm{Ad}\, \rho(U_t)\pi(x) = \pi\alpha_t(x)$, $x \in A$.

If $\alpha_s(B) \overset{\delta}{\subset} B$ for $s \in [0, 1]$ for a $\delta > 0$ with $\delta < 10^{-4}$, then Christensen [6] shows that there is a unitary $v_s \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ such that $\|1 - v_s\| < 120\delta^{1/2}$ and

$$v_s \alpha_s(B) v_s^* = B.$$

Since $(v_s\otimes\overline{v}_s)(\alpha_s(B)\otimes\alpha_s(B)^t)(v_s\otimes\overline{v}_s)^*=B\otimes B^t$, we have that

$$\gamma_s(B\otimes B^t)\overset{480\delta^{1/2}}{\subset} B\otimes B^t,$$

for $t\in[0,1]$.

Hence the flow γ on $A\otimes A$ satisfies the condition of Lemma 3.1 below for (A,α) with $B\otimes B^t$ and ω in place of B and ϕ respectively if we start with the small enough $\delta>0$. Thus we get a $u\in\mathcal{U}(A\otimes A)$ and $h\in(A\otimes A)_{sa}$ such that $\|u-1\|\approx 0$, $\|h\|\approx 0$, and $\gamma'_t(B\otimes B^t)=B\otimes B^t,\ t\in\mathbf{R}$, where

$$\gamma'_t=\mathrm{Ad}(u^*e^{it(H\otimes 1-1\otimes H^t+h)}u)$$

is a cocycle perturbation of $\gamma_t=\mathrm{Ad}\,U_t$.

Let ϕ be a pure ground state of $A\otimes A$ with respect to γ'. Then ϕ is a product state, i.e., $\phi=(\phi|B\otimes B^t)\otimes(\phi|(A\cap B')\otimes(A\cap B')^t)$. We consider B and B^t (resp. $A\cap B'\cong M_{N/K}$ and $(A\cap B')^t$) irreducibly acting on $\mathbf{C}^K$ (resp. $\mathbf{C}^{N/K}$); and then $B\otimes B^t$ on $\mathbf{C}^K\otimes\mathbf{C}^K$ (resp. $A\cap B'\otimes(A\cap B')^t$ on $\mathbf{C}^{N/K}\otimes\mathbf{C}^{N/K}$). Then there are unit vectors $\Phi_1\in\mathbf{C}^K\otimes\mathbf{C}^K$ and $\Phi_2\in\mathbf{C}^{N/K}\otimes\mathbf{C}^{N/K}$ such that

$$\phi(x\otimes y)=\langle x\Phi_1,\Phi_1\rangle\langle y\Phi_2,\Phi_2\rangle,\quad x\in B\otimes B^t,\ y\in A\cap B'\otimes(A\cap B')^t.$$

Let $\Phi=\Phi_1\otimes\Phi_2$ and note that

$$u^*(H\otimes 1-1\otimes H^t+h)u\Phi=E_0\Phi,$$

where E_0 is the minimum of the spectrum of $H\otimes 1-1\otimes H^t+h$.

We may assume that $\min\mathrm{Spec}(H)=0$ and let $E_1=\max\mathrm{Spec}(H)$, i.e., $0,E_1\in\mathrm{Spec}(H)$ and $\mathrm{Spec}(H)\subset[0,E_1]$. From $H\otimes 1-1\otimes H^t-\|h\|\le H\otimes 1-1\otimes H^t+h\le H\otimes 1-1\otimes H^t+\|h\|$, it follows that $-E_1-\|h\|\le E_0\le -E_1+\|h\|$. Hence we have that

$$u^*(H\otimes 1-1\otimes H^t+E_1)u\Phi=(E_0+E_1)\Phi-u^*hu\Phi$$

has norm less than $2\|h\|\approx 0$. Hence the distance of $u\Phi$ to the spectral subspace of $H\otimes 1-1\otimes H^t$ corresponding to $[-E_1,-E_1+\epsilon]$ is sufficiently small for some small $\epsilon>0$ (depending on $\|h\|$ and $\|u-1\|$). Since $u\Phi\approx\Phi$, we thus find a unit vector Ψ in the spectral subspace of $H\otimes 1-1\otimes H^t$ corresponding to $[-E_1,-E_1+\epsilon]$ such that $\Psi\approx\Phi\equiv\Phi_1\otimes\Phi_2$. Specifically we may assume that

$$\langle\Phi,\Psi\rangle=\mathrm{Re}\langle\Phi,\Psi\rangle>1-\epsilon.$$

We should note that Ψ belong to the spectral subspace of $H\otimes 1$ corresponding to $[0,\epsilon]$.

Let $\mathcal{P}_1$ (resp. $\mathcal{P}_2$) be a maximal set of mutually orthogonal one-dimensional projections in B^t (resp. $(A\cap B')^t$). Since $\sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}_1}p=1$ and $\sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}_2}p=1$, we have that

$$\sum_{p_1\in\mathcal{P}_1,p_2\in\mathcal{P}_2}\|(1\otimes p_1p_2)\Phi\|\|(1\otimes p_1p_2)\Psi\|\ge\sum\mathrm{Re}\langle(1\otimes p_1p_2)\Phi,\Psi\rangle=\langle\Phi,\Psi\rangle>1-\epsilon$$

and

$$\sum_{p_1\in\mathcal{P}_1,p_2\in\mathcal{P}_2} \|(1\otimes p_1p_2)\Phi\|\|(1\otimes p_1p_2)\Psi\|\|\Phi_{p_1p_2}-\Psi_{p_1p_2}\|^2 < 2\epsilon,$$

where $\Phi_{p_1p_2}$ (resp. $\Psi_{p_1p_2}$) is the unit vector $c(1\otimes p_1p_2)\Phi$ (resp. $c(1\otimes p_1p_2)\Psi$) with normalization constant $c>0$. (If $(1\otimes p_1p_2)\Phi=0$ (resp. $(1\otimes p_1p_2)\Psi=0$), we can disregard it.) Hence there must be $p_1\in\mathcal{P}_1$ and $p_2\in\mathcal{P}_2$ such that $(1\otimes p_1p_2)\Phi\neq 0$, $(1\otimes p_1p_2)\Psi\neq 0$, and

$$\|\Phi_{p_1p_2}-\Psi_{p_1p_2}\|^2<3\epsilon$$

(if $\epsilon\leq 1/3$). We define a representation π_0 of A on $\mathcal{H}_{\pi_0}=\mathrm{Ran}(1\otimes p_1p_2)$ by $x\mapsto x\otimes p_1p_2$. Since π_0 is irreducible and $\Phi_{p_1p_2},\Psi_{p_1p_2}\in\mathcal{H}_{\pi_0}$, there is a unitary $v\in A$ such that $v\approx 1$ (depending on $\epsilon^{1/2}$) and $\pi_0(v)\Phi_{p_1p_2}=\Psi_{p_1p_2}$. Furthermore, by the choice of Ψ, there is a $k\in A_{sa}$ such that $k\approx 0$ (depending on ϵ) and $\pi_0(H)\Psi_{p_1p_2}=-\pi_0(k)\Psi_{p_1p_2}$. Hence we have that

$$\pi(v^*(H+k)v)\Phi_{p_1p_2}=0.$$

Note that the state ϕ' of $A\cong A\otimes 1$ defined through π_0 by the unit vector $\Phi_{p_1p_2}=c(1\otimes p_1)\Phi_1\otimes(1\otimes p_2)\Phi_2$ with normalization constant $c>0$ is a pure product state with respect to $A=B\otimes(A\cap B')$. Since $\mathrm{Ad}(v^*e^{it(H+k)}v)(B)\overset{\delta'}{\subset} B$ with $\delta'=\delta+2\|v-1\|+2\|k\|$ for $t\in[0,1]$, we again reach the situation where the following lemma is applicable, which is already used once before.

Lemma 3.1 *For any $\epsilon>0$ there exists a $\delta>0$ satisfying the following condition: Let $A=M_N$ for some $N\in\mathbf{N}$, B a unital $*$-subalgebra of A with $B\cong M_K$ for some K dividing N, $H\in A_{sa}$, ϕ_1 a pure state of B, and ϕ_2 a pure state of $A\cap B'$ such that*

$$e^{itH}Be^{-itH}\overset{\delta}{\subset} B$$

for $t\in[0,1]$ and the state $\phi_1\otimes\phi_2$ of $A=B\otimes(A\cap B')$ is left invariant under the action $\alpha: t\mapsto \mathrm{Ad}\,e^{itH}$. Then there exist a $u\in\mathcal{U}(A)$ and $h\in A_{sa}$ such that $\|u-1\|<\epsilon$, $\|h\|<\epsilon$, and

$$u^*e^{it(H+h)}uBu^*e^{-it(H+h)}u=B,\quad t\in\mathbf{R}.$$

Proof. In the GNS representation $(\pi_\phi,\mathcal{H}_\phi,\Omega_\phi)$ of A associated with $\phi=\phi_1\otimes\phi_2$ we define a projection E on $\mathcal{H}_\phi$ by $E=[\pi_\phi(B)\Omega_\phi]$. Here $[S]$ means either the projection onto the closed linear span of $S(\subset\mathcal{H}_\phi)$ or the closed linear span itself. Then, by Lemma 3.3 below, $\max_{t\in[0,1]}\|e^{itH}Ee^{-itH}-E\|$ is arbitrarily small depending on δ. Hence, by Lemma 3.4 below, there is a $u\in\mathcal{U}(A)$ and $h\in A_{sa}$ such that $u\approx 1$, $h\approx 0$, $\pi_\phi(u)\Omega_\phi=\Omega_\phi$, $\pi_\phi(h)\Omega_\phi=0$, and

$$\mathrm{Ad}\pi_\phi(u^*e^{it(H+h)}u)(E)=E.$$

Thus we may just as well assume that $\mathrm{Ad}\pi_\phi(e^{itH})(E)=E$ retaining the assumptions of the Lemma.

Note that $E \in \pi_\phi(B)'$. Furthermore if $x \in B$, then $\pi_\phi\alpha_t(x)E = \pi_\phi(e^{itH}xe^{-itH})E = E\pi_\phi\alpha_t(x)$, which implies that $\pi_\phi\alpha_t(B)E = \pi_\phi(B)E$. Since $x \mapsto \pi(x)E$ is an isomorphism of B onto $\pi_\phi(B)E$, one can find a map β_t of B into B such that $\pi_\phi\alpha_t(x)E = \pi_\phi\beta_t(x)E,\ x \in B$. We can show that $t \mapsto \beta_t$ is a flow on B; e.g., $\pi_\phi(\beta_s\beta_t(x))E = \pi_\phi(\alpha_s(\beta_t(x)))E = \pi_\phi(e^{itH})\pi_\phi(\beta_t(x))E\pi_\phi(e^{-itH}) = \pi_\phi(e^{itH})\pi_\phi\alpha_t(x)E\pi_\phi(e^{itH}) = \pi_\phi\alpha_{s+t}(x)E,\ x \in B$.

Let $e_1 \in B$ be a minimal projection such that $\pi_\phi(e_1)\Omega_\phi = \Omega_\phi$. Since $\pi_\phi\alpha_t(e_1)\Omega_\phi = \Omega_\phi$ (because ϕ is α-invariant), it follows that $\pi_\phi(\alpha_t(e_1))E \geq \pi_\phi(e_1)E$, which implies that $\pi_\phi(\alpha_t(e_1))E = \pi_\phi(e_1)E$ and $\beta_t(e_1) = e_1$. Since $\alpha_t(B) \overset{\delta}{\subset} B$ for $t \in [0,1]$, there is an $e_t \in B$ such that $\|\alpha_t(e_1) - e_t\| \leq \delta$ for $t \in [0,1]$. This implies that $\|\pi_\phi(e_1 - e_t)E\| \leq \delta$, i.e., $\|e_1 - e_t\| \leq \delta$. Hence we get that $\|\alpha_t(e_1) - e_1\| \leq 2\delta$ for $t \in [0,1]$.

We will then argue that there is a projection $p \in A$ and a unitary $v \in A$ such that $p \approx e_1$, $\|[H,p]\| \approx 0$, $v \approx 1$, $ve_1v^* = p$, $\pi_\phi(p)\Omega_\phi = \Omega_\phi$, and $\pi_\phi(v)E = E$.

Let f be a non-negative C^∞ function on $\mathbf{R}$ of compact support such that $\int f(t)dt = 1$, and consider

$$\int f(t)\alpha_t(e_1)dt,$$

which is still close to e_1 for a small $\delta > 0$. By functional calculus construct a projection p out of it, which satisfies that $\|[H,p]\| \approx 0$ depending on $\int |f'(t)|dt$ (see the proof of 3.4 below). Since

$$\pi_\phi(\int f(t)\alpha_t(e_1)dt)E = \pi_\phi(e_1)E,$$

we get that $\pi_\phi(p)E = E\pi_\phi(p) = E\pi_\phi(e_1)$.

Consider

$$z = pe_1 + (1-p)(1-e_1),$$

which satisfies that $z \approx 1$, $\pi_\phi(z)E = E\pi_\phi(z) = E$, and $ze_1 = pz$, and construct a unitary v by the polar decomposition of $z = |z|v$. Then it follows that $\pi_\phi(v)E = E$ and $ve_1v^* = p$.

Let $h = -[H,p]p + p[H,p] = -(1-p)Hp - pH(1-p)$, which has small norm as we have assumed that $\|[H,p]\| \approx 0$. Then we have that $\pi_\phi(h)E = 0$ and $[H+h,p] = [H,p] - (1-p)Hp + pH(1-p) = 0$. Hence we have reached the following situation:

The flow $t \mapsto \mathrm{Ad}(v^*e^{it(H+h)}v)$ leaves e_1 invariant and also the projection E onto $\pi_\phi(B)\Omega_\phi$ invariant. Thus we may just as well assume that $\alpha_t = \mathrm{Ad}\,U_t$ leaves $e_1 \in B$ invariant, in addition to the conditions already assumed.

Recall that we have defined the flow β on B by $\pi_\phi(\beta_t(x))E = \pi_\phi(\alpha_t(x))E,\ x \in B$. Since $\alpha_t(B) \overset{\delta}{\subset} B$ for $t \in [0,1]$, we have, for $x \in B$ with $\|x\| \leq 1$ and $t \in [0,1]$, an $x_t \in B$ such that $\|\alpha_t(x) - x_t\| \leq \delta$, which implies that $\|(\pi_\phi(\alpha_t(x)) - \pi_\phi(x_t))E\| \leq \delta$. Hence we have that $\|(\pi_\phi(x_t) - \pi_\phi(\beta_t(x)))E\| \leq \delta$ or $\|x_t - \beta_t(x)\| \leq \delta$. Thus we obtain that

$$\|\alpha_t(x) - \beta_t(x)\| \leq 2\delta$$

for $x \in B$ with $\|x\| \leq 1$ and $t \in [0,1]$.

Since β is a flow on $B \cong M_K$, there is a set (e_{ij}) of matrix units for B such that $e_{11} = e_1$ and $\beta_t(e_{ij}) = e^{it(p_i - p_j)} e_{ij}$ for some $p_1 = 0, p_2, \ldots, p_K \in \mathbf{R}$. We then define

$$v_t = \sum_{j=1}^{K} e^{ip_j t} e_{j1} \alpha_t(e_{1j}).$$

Then, since $\alpha_t(e_{11}) = e_{11}$, v_t is a unitary in A and $t \mapsto v_t$ is an α-cocycle such that $v_t e_{11} = e_{11}$ and $\pi_\phi(v_t)E = E$. It follows that $\operatorname{Ad} v_t \alpha_t | B = \beta_t | B$, since

$$\operatorname{Ad} v_t \alpha_t(e_{ij}) = e^{ip_i t} e_{i1} \alpha_t(e_{1i}) \alpha_t(e_{ij}) \alpha_t(e_{j1}) e_{1j} e^{-ip_j t} = e^{i(p_i - p_j)t} e_{ij}.$$

Since $\|(\alpha_t - \beta_t)|B\| \leq 2\delta$ for $t \in [0,1]$, we get that $\|(\operatorname{Ad} v_t^* - \mathrm{id})|B\| = \|(\operatorname{Ad} v_t^* \beta_t - \beta_t)|B\| = \|(\alpha_t - \beta_t)|B\| \leq 2\delta$. Now we assert that $\max_{t \in [0,1]} \|v_t - 1\|$ is small depending on δ (but without depending on the sizes of A and B).

Since $v_t^* B v_t = \alpha_t(B) \overset{\delta}{\subset} B$ for $t \in [0,1]$, there is a $w_t \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ such that $\|w_t - 1\| \leq 120\delta^{1/2}$ and $w_t v_t^* B v_t w_t^* = B$ [6]. Since $\|(\operatorname{Ad}(w_t v_t^*) - \mathrm{id})|B\| \leq 240\delta^{1/2} + 2\delta$, there exists a $u_t \in \mathcal{U}(B)$ such that $\|u_t - 1\|$ is of the order $\|\operatorname{Ad}(w_t v_t^*) - \mathrm{id}\|^{1/2}$ and $\operatorname{Ad}(w_t v_t^*)|B = \operatorname{Ad} u_t^* | B$ (see 8.7.5 of [18]). That is, we have that $z_t = u_t w_t v_t^* \in A \cap B'$, or

$$v_t = z_t^* u_t w_t.$$

Since $e_{11} = e_{11} v_t = e_{11} z_t \cdot e_{11} u_t w_t$ and $e_{11} u_t w_t \approx e_{11}$ (because $u_t \approx 1 \approx w_t$), it follows that $e_{11} z_t \approx e_{11}$. Since $\|z_t - 1\| = \|(z_t - 1)e_{11}\|$ (because $z_t \in A \cap B'$), it follows that $z_t \approx 1$. Thus we get that $v_t \approx 1$. Hence incorporating all the cocycle perturbations made we have reached the following situation: There is a cocycle u with respect to $\alpha : t \mapsto \operatorname{Ad} e^{itH}$ on A such that $\sup_{t \in [0,1]} \|u_t - 1\| < \epsilon$ and $\operatorname{Ad} u_t \alpha_t(B) = B$. Then the conclusion will follow from the following lemma. $\square$

Lemma 3.2 *For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following condition: If α is a flow on a unital C^*-algebra A and u is an α-cocycle such that $\|u_t - 1\| < \delta$, $t \in [0,1]$, there are $v \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and a differentiable α-cocycle w such that $\|v - 1\| < \epsilon$, $\|dw_t/dt|_{t=0}\| < \epsilon$ for $t \in [0,1]$, and $u_t = v w_t \alpha_t(v^*)$.*

Proof. This can be shown by using the 2 by 2 trick due to Connes (see [12]). Namely define a flow γ on $M_2 \otimes A$ by $\gamma_t(e_{11} \otimes x) = e_{11} \otimes \alpha_t(x)$, $\gamma_t(e_{12} \otimes x) = e_{12} \otimes \alpha_t(x) u_t^*$, etc. and note that $\gamma(e_{21} \otimes 1) = e_{21} \otimes u_t$. We approximate $e_{21} \otimes 1$ by $e_{21} \otimes v$ with $v \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ such that $d\gamma_t(e_{21} \otimes v)/dt$ has small norm. Let $w_t = v^* u_t \alpha_t(v)$, which is an α-cocycle. Since

$$\gamma_t(e_{21} \otimes v) = e_{21} \otimes u_t \alpha_t(v) = e_{21} \otimes v w_t,$$

this concludes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 3.3 *For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following condition: Let A be a unital C^*-algebra, A_1 a unital C^*-subalgebra of A with $A_1 \cong M_K$ for some $K \in \mathbf{N}$, and α a flow on A such that*

$$\sup_{t\in[0,1]} \mathrm{dist}(A_1, \alpha_t(A_1)) < \delta.$$

Let ϕ be an α-invariant pure state of A such that $\phi|A_1$ is pure and let

$$E = [\pi_\phi(A_1)\Omega_\phi].$$

If U denotes the unitary flow on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\phi$ defined by

$$U_t\pi_\phi(x)\Omega_\phi = \pi_\phi(\alpha_t(x))\Omega_\phi, \ x \in A,$$

then it follows that

$$\sup_{t\in[0,1]} \|U_tEU_t^* - E\| < \epsilon.$$

Proof. Since $\phi|A_1$ is pure, there is a minimal projection $e \in A_1$ such that $\pi_\phi(e)\Omega_\phi = \Omega_\phi$. Note that $\pi_\phi(\alpha_t(e))\Omega_\phi = U_t\pi_\phi(e)\Omega_\phi = \Omega_\phi$. We will assert that $\|e - \alpha_t(e)\|$ is small.

Let $t \in [0,1]$. There is an $x \in \alpha_t(A_1)$ such that $\|x - e\| < \delta$ and $x^* = x$. Since

$$\pi_\phi(\alpha_t(e)x\alpha_t(e))\Omega_\phi - \Omega_\phi = \pi_\phi(\alpha_t(e)x)\Omega_\phi - \pi_\phi(\alpha_t(e)e)\Omega_\phi = \pi_\phi(\alpha_t(e)(x-e))\Omega_\phi,$$

we have that $\|\pi_\phi(\alpha_t(e)x\alpha_t(e))\Omega_\phi - \Omega_\phi\| < \delta$. Since $\alpha_t(e)$ is a minimal projection in $\alpha_t(A_1)$, there is a $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $\alpha_t(e)x\alpha_t(e) = \lambda\alpha_t(e)$. The above inequality shows that $|\lambda - 1| < \delta$ or $\|\alpha_t(e)x\alpha_t(e) - \alpha_t(e)\| < \delta$. Since $\|x - e\| < \delta$, we then have that

$$\|\alpha_t(e) - \alpha_t(e)e\alpha_t(e)\| < 2\delta.$$

Hence it follows that

$$\|\alpha_t(e) - \alpha_t(e)e\|^2 = \|\alpha_t(e)(1-e)\alpha_t(e)\| < 2\delta.$$

In the same way we get that $\|e - e\alpha_t(e)\|^2 < 2\delta$. Since $\|e - \alpha_t(e)\| \leq \|e - e\alpha_t(e)\| + \|e\alpha_t(e) - \alpha_t(e)\|$, we obtain that

$$\|e - \alpha_t(e)\| < 2\sqrt{2\delta} < 3\delta^{1/2}.$$

Let $w \in A_1$ be a partial isometry such that $w^*w = e$. We will assert that there is a partial isometry $y \in \alpha_t(A_1)$ such that $y^*y = \alpha_t(e)$ and $\|y - w\|$ is small.

Let $z \in \alpha_t(A_1)$ such that $\|z - w\| < \delta$. Note that $\|z\alpha_t(e) - w\| \leq \|(z - w)\alpha_t(e)\| + \|w(\alpha_t(e) - e)\| < \delta + 3\delta^{1/2}$. If δ is sufficiently small, then $\lambda = \|z\alpha_t(e)\|$ is close to 1, as $|\lambda - 1| < \delta + 3\delta^{1/2}$. Then $y = \lambda^{-1}z\alpha_t(e)$ is a partial isometry in $\alpha_t(A_1)$ such that $y^*y = \alpha_t(e)$ and $\|y - w\| < 2\delta + 6\delta^{1/2}$. The latter follows because $\|y - w\| = \|\lambda^{-1}z\alpha_t(e) - w\| \leq \|z\alpha_t(e) - w\| + \|(\lambda^{-1} - 1)z\alpha_t(e)\| < \delta + 3\delta^{1/2} + |1 - \lambda|$.

Let $\overline{\alpha}_t = \mathrm{Ad}\, U_t$ as a weakly continuous flow on $B(\mathcal{H}_\phi)$ and note that $\overline{\alpha}_t(E)\mathcal{H}_\phi = [\pi_\phi(\alpha_t(A_1))\Omega_\phi]$. We will assert that

$$\inf \mathrm{Spec}(E\overline{\alpha}_t(E)E) = \inf_\xi \|\overline{\alpha}_t(E)E\xi\|^2$$

is close to 1, where the spectrum is taken as an operator on $E\mathcal{H}_\phi$ and the infimum is taken over all unit vectors $\xi \in E\mathcal{H}_\phi = [\pi_\phi(A_1)\Omega_\phi]$. Note that this infimum is obtained as

$$\inf_w \sup_y |\langle \pi_\phi(y)\Omega_\phi, \pi_\phi(w)\Omega_\phi\rangle|^2,$$

where w runs over all $w \in A_1$ with $w^*w = e$ and y runs over all $y \in \alpha_t(A_1)$ with $y^*y = \alpha_t(e)$. For each $w \in A_1$ with $w^*w = e$, we choose $y \in \alpha_t(A_1)$ with $y^*y = \alpha_t(e)$ such that $\|w - y\| < 2\delta + 6\delta^{1/2}$. Since $\|y^*w - e\| \leq \|(y^* - w^*)w\| \leq \|y - w\|$ and

$$\langle \pi_\phi(y)\Omega_\phi, \pi_\phi(w)\Omega_\phi\rangle = 1 - \langle \Omega_\phi, \pi_\phi(e - y^*w)\Omega_\phi\rangle,$$

We have that

$$|\langle \pi_\phi(y)\Omega_\phi, \pi_\phi(w)\Omega\rangle| > 1 - (2\delta + 6\delta^{1/2}).$$

Hence we get that

$$\inf \mathrm{Spec}(E\overline{\alpha}_t(E)E) > 1 - (4\delta + 12\delta^{1/2}).$$

Thus, if $t \in [0, 1]$, we have that $\|E\overline{\alpha}_t(E)E - E\| < \delta_1$, where $\delta_1 = 4\delta + 12\delta^{1/2}$. In the same way we have that $\|\overline{\alpha}_t(E)E\overline{\alpha}_t(E) - \overline{\alpha}_t(E)\| < \delta_1$. Then we get $\|E - \overline{\alpha}_t(E)\| < 3\delta_1^{1/2}$ as before. Since $\delta_1^{1/2} \approx 2\sqrt{3}\delta^{1/4}$, this concludes the proof. □

Lemma 3.4 *For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following condition: Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space, E a projection on $\mathcal{H}$, and H a self-adjoint operator on $\mathcal{H}$ such that*

$$\|e^{itH}Ee^{-itH} - E\| < \delta$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$. Then there exist a unitary u on $\mathcal{H}$ and a bounded self-adjoint operator h on $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\|u - 1\| < \epsilon$, $\|h\| < \epsilon$, and

$$u^*e^{it(H+h)}uEu^*e^{-it(H+h)}u = E.$$

Moreover if $\Omega \in \mathcal{H}$ is a unit vector such that $E\Omega = \Omega$ and $e^{itH}\Omega = \Omega$, the above u and h can be chosen so that $u\Omega = \Omega$ and $h\Omega = 0$.

Proof. Let f be a non-negative C^∞ function on $\mathbf{R}$ of compact support such that $\int f(t)dt = 1$. Then for any projection E and a self-adjoint operator H on $\mathcal{H}$, we define, for $n \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$E_n = \frac{1}{n}\int f(t/n)\mathrm{Ad}\, e^{itH}(E)dt$$

and estimate

$$\|[iH, E_n]\| \le \frac{1}{n}\int |f'(t)|dt,$$

which is close to zero depending on n only. If δ is sufficiently small, i.e., $\|E_n - E\| \approx 0$, then we can define a projection F_n by functional calculus by

$$F_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_C (E_n - z)^{-1}dz,$$

where C is the path $|z-1| = 1/2$ (see, e.g., [20]). Here we may assume that the distance between C and $\mathrm{Spec}(E_n)$ is greater than $1/4$. Since F_n is close to E_n, this is also close to E depending on $\|E - E_n\|$. Since

$$[iH, F_n] = \frac{-1}{2\pi i}\oint_C (E_n - z)^{-1}[iH, E_n](E_n - z)^{-1}dz,$$

the norm of $[iH, F_n]$ is smaller than $16\|[iH, E_n]\|$. Since we may define u to be the unitary obtained from the polar decomposition of $z = F_nE + (1-F_n)(1-E) = 1 - (1-F_n)E - F_n(1-E) \approx 1$ and h as $-(1-F_n)HF_n - F_nH(1-F_n) \approx 0$, this completes the proof of the first part.

If Ω is a unit vector such that $E\Omega = \Omega$ and $H\Omega = 0$, it follows that $E_n\Omega = \Omega$, $F_n\Omega = \Omega$, $z\Omega = \Omega$, $h\Omega = 0$, i.e., the last conditions follow automatically. □

4 UHF algebras

The previous result 1.5 can be extended to approximate UHF flows.

Proposition 4.1 *For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following condition: If α is a flow on a UHF algebra A and (A_n) is an increasing sequence (A_n) of finite dimensional unital C*-subalgebras of A such that $\overline{\bigcup_n A_n} = A$, $A_n \cong M_{K_n}$ for all n, and $\delta_n \equiv \sup_{t\in[0,1]} \mathrm{dist}(\alpha_t(A_n), A_n) \to 0$ with $\delta_1 \le \delta$, then there is an α-cocycle u such that $\sup_{t\in[0,1]} \|u_t - 1\| < \epsilon$ and $\mathrm{Ad}\, u_t\alpha_t(A_n) = A_n$ for $n = 1$ and infinitely many n.*

Proof. Let τ denote the tracial state of the UHF algebra A. We denote by $(\pi_\tau, \mathcal{H}_\tau, \Omega_\tau)$ the GNS representation associated with τ and recall that the canonical conjugation operator J is defined by

$$J\pi_\tau(x)\Omega_\tau = \pi_\tau(x^*)\Omega_\tau, \quad x \in A.$$

By using the fact that $J\pi_\tau(A)''J = \pi_\tau(A)'$, we define an irreducible representation ρ of $A \otimes A^{op}$ in $\mathcal{H}_\tau$ by

$$\rho(x \otimes y) = \pi_\tau(x)J\pi_\tau(y^*)J, \quad x \otimes y \in A \otimes A^{op},$$

where A^{op} is the opposite C*-algebra of A; i.e., $A^{op} = A$ as a Banach space with the same involution and the new product $x \circ y = yx$. We check that ρ is indeed a representation of

$A \otimes A^{op}$ by $\rho(x \otimes y)\rho(a \otimes b) = \pi_\tau(xa)J\pi_\tau(y^*b^*)J = \pi_\tau(xa)J\pi_\tau((y \circ b)^*)J = \rho(xa \otimes y \circ b)$ and note that $A \otimes A^{op}$ is a UHF algebra with dense $\bigcup_n A_n \otimes A_n$. We define a state ω of $A \otimes A^{op}$ by $\omega(z) = \langle \rho(z)\Omega_\tau, \Omega_\tau \rangle$. Since $\rho(A_n \otimes A_n)\Omega_\tau = \pi_\tau(A_n)\Omega_\tau$ is K_n^2-dimensional, we know that $\omega|A_n \otimes A_n$ is pure for all n. (If (e_{ij}) is a family of matrix units for A_n, then $p = K_n^{-1}\sum_{ij} e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji}$ is a minimal projection in $A_n \otimes A_n$ such that $\rho(p)\Omega_\tau = \Omega_\tau$.)

We define a unitary flow U in $\mathcal{H}_\tau$ by

$$U_t\pi_\tau(x)\Omega_\tau = \pi_\tau\alpha_t(x)\Omega_\tau, \quad x \in A.$$

Since $U_t\rho(x \otimes y)\Omega_\tau = \pi_\tau\alpha_t(x)\pi_\tau\alpha_t(y)\Omega_\tau = \rho(\alpha_t(x) \otimes \alpha_t(y))\Omega_\tau$, we get that $\mathrm{Ad}\,U_t\rho = \rho \circ (\alpha_t \otimes \alpha_t)$. Note that $\omega \circ (\alpha_t \otimes \alpha_t) = \omega$.

If δ_n is sufficiently small and $t \in [0,1]$, there is a unitary $v_n \in A$, by [6], such that $\|v_n - 1\| \le 120\delta_n^{1/2}$ and $v_n\alpha_t(A_n)v_n^* = A_n$. Hence we get that

$$\mathrm{Ad}(v_n \otimes v_n^*)(\alpha_t \otimes \alpha_t)(A_n \otimes A_n) = A_n \otimes A_n.$$

This implies that $\mathrm{dist}((\alpha_t \otimes \alpha_t)(A_n \otimes A_n), A_n \otimes A_n) \le 480\delta_n^{1/2}$ for $t \in [0,1]$.

Hence we shall first show the following weaker version of this proposition. $\square$

Lemma 4.2 *For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following condition: If α is a flow on a UHF algebra A, ϕ is an α-invariant pure state of A, and (A_n) is an increasing sequence (A_n) of unital finite dimensional C*-subalgebras of A such that $\overline{\bigcup_n A_n} = A$, $A_n \cong M_{K_n}$ for all n, $\phi|A_n$ is pure for all n, and $\delta_n \equiv \sup_{t\in[0,1]} \mathrm{dist}(\alpha_t(A_n), A_n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ with $\delta_1 \le \delta$, then there is an α-cocycle u such that $\|u_t - 1\| < \epsilon$ for all $t \in [0,1]$ and $\mathrm{Ad}\,u_t\alpha_t(A_n) = A_n$ for $n = 1$ and infinitely many n.*

We will prove the above lemma by induction. What we need is the following lemma for a unital C*-algebra A.

Lemma 4.3 *For any $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following condition. If α is a flow on a unital C*-algebra A, ϕ is an α-invariant pure state of A, and A_1 is a unital C*-subalgebra of A such that $A_1 \cong M_K$ for some K, $\phi|A_1$ is pure, and*

$$\sup_{t\in[0,1]} \mathrm{dist}(\alpha_t(A_1), A_1) < \delta,$$

then there is an α-cocycle u such that $\|u_t - 1\| < \epsilon$ for all $t \in [0,1]$, $\phi\mathrm{Ad}\,u_t\alpha_t = \phi$, and $\mathrm{Ad}\,u_t\alpha_t(A_1) = A_1$.

Proof. In the GNS representation $(\pi_\phi, \mathcal{H}_\phi, \Omega_\phi)$ associated with ϕ, we define a unitary flow U by $U_t\pi_\phi(x)\Omega_\phi = \pi_\phi(\alpha_t(x))\Omega_\phi$, $x \in A$ and denote by H the self-adjoint generator of U: $U_t = e^{itH}$.

We define a projection E_1 in $\mathcal{H}_\phi$ by

$$E_1 = [\pi_\phi(A_1)\Omega_\phi].$$

Note that E_1 is a projection in $\pi_\phi(A_1)'$ of rank K and $A_1 \ni x \mapsto \pi_\phi(x)E_1$ is an irreducible representation of A_1. As in the proof of 1.5, $\|U_tE_1U_t^* - E_1\|$ is close to zero depending on δ. Then we find a projection F of finite rank such that $E_1 \approx F$, $F\Omega_\phi = \Omega_\phi$, $\|[H, F]\| \approx 0$. We also find a unitary Z on the subspace L spanned by $E_1\mathcal{H}_\phi$ and $F\mathcal{H}_\phi$ such that $Z \approx 1$, $Z\Omega_\phi = \Omega_\phi$, and $F = ZE_1Z^*$. By using Kadison's transitivity [9, 18], we find a $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ such that $\pi_\phi(u)|L = Z|L$ and $\|u - 1\| < 2\|Z - 1\|$. We also find an $h \in A_{sa}$ such that $\pi_\phi(h) = -(1 - F)HF - FH(1 - F)$ on the subspace spanned by $F\mathcal{H}_\phi$ and $(1 - F)HF\mathcal{H}_\phi$, and $\|h\| < 2\|(1 - F)HF\|$. Then it follows that $\pi_\phi(u)\Omega_\phi = \Omega_\phi$, $\pi_\phi(h)\Omega_\phi = 0$, $\pi_\phi(u)E_1\pi_\phi(u^*) = F$, and $[H, F] = -[\pi_\phi(h), F]$ as well as $u \approx 1$ and $h \approx 0$. Note that all the estimates depend on δ but not on the size of A_1 and that $\mathrm{Ad}(\pi_\phi(u)^*e^{it(H+\pi_\phi(h))}\pi_\phi(u))E_1 = 0$. If e_1 denotes the minimal projection of A_1 such that $\pi_\phi(e_1)\Omega_\phi = \Omega_\phi$, we may also suppose that $(\delta_\alpha + \mathrm{ad}(ih))\mathrm{Ad}\, u(e_1) = 0$ (see the proof of 1.5).

Let $\alpha'_t = \mathrm{Ad}\, u\, e^{it(\delta_\alpha+\mathrm{ad}\, ih)}\mathrm{Ad}\, u^*$, which is a small cocycle perturbation of α. We then define a flow β on A_1 by

$$\pi_\phi(\alpha'_t(x))E_1 = \pi_\phi(\beta_t(x))E_1, \quad x \in A_1.$$

It follows from the commutativity of $\pi_\phi(u)^*e^{it(H+\pi_\phi(h))}\pi_\phi(u)$ and E_1 that β is indeed a flow. Then we derive that $\|(\alpha'_t - \beta_t)|A_n\| \le 2\delta'$, where $\delta' = \sup_{t\in[0,1]} \mathrm{dist}(\alpha'_t(A_1), A_1)$ which is small depending on the original $\delta \ge \sup_{t\in[0,1]} \mathrm{dist}(\alpha_t(A_1), A_1)$. We choose a family (e_{ij}) of matrix units for A_1 such that $\beta_t(e_{i1}) = e^{ip_it}e_{i1}$ for some $p_1 = 0, p_2, \ldots, p_K$ in $\mathbf{R}$ with $e_{11} = e_n$. Noting that $e_{11} = \alpha'_t(e_{11})$, we define an α'-cocycle

$$v_t = \sum_i e^{ip_it}e_{i1}\alpha'_t(e_{1i}).$$

We show that $\pi_\phi(v_t)\Omega_\phi = \Omega_\phi$, $v_t \approx 1$ (depending on δ'; see the proof of 3.1), and $\mathrm{Ad}\, v_t\alpha'_t|A_1 = \beta_t|A_1$. Thus $\alpha''_t = \mathrm{Ad}\, v_t\alpha'_t$, which is a small cocycle perturbation of α, satisfies the required condition. Note that the estimate of how far α'' is from α does not depend on the size of A_1, thanks to the estimate in 5.3 of [6]. □

To prove Lemma 4.2 we apply the above lemma inductively. By choosing δ small enough, we guarantee the above lemma applies to A_1; thus we find an α-cocycle u^1 such that $\max_{t\in[0,1]} \|u^1_t - 1\| < \epsilon/2$, $\alpha^1_t = \mathrm{Ad}\, u^1_t\alpha_t$ fixes A_1, and $\phi\alpha^1_t = \phi$. To proceed to the next step, we just note that

$$\delta_n \equiv \sup_{t\in[0,1]} (\alpha^1_t(A_n \cap A'_1), A_n \cap A'_1) \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$. We find $n_2 > 1$ such that δ_{n_2} is sufficiently small so that we find an α^1-cocycle u^2 in $A \cap A'_1$ such that $\max_{t\in[0,1]} \|u^2_t - 1\| < \epsilon/4$, $\alpha^2_t = \mathrm{Ad}\, u^2_t\alpha^1_t$ fixes A_{n_2}, and $\phi\alpha^2_t = \phi$. We repeat this process inductively.

Lemma 4.4 *For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following condition: Let α be a flow on a unital C^*-algebra A and A_1 a unital C^*-subalgebra of A such that $A_1 \equiv M_K$ for some K. Let u be an $\alpha\otimes\alpha$-cocycle, where $t \mapsto \alpha_t\otimes\alpha_t$ is a flow on $A\otimes A^{op}$, such that $\max_{t\in[0,1]}\|u_t - 1\| < \delta$ and $\mathrm{Ad}\, u_t(\alpha_t\otimes\alpha_t)$ fixes $A_1\otimes A_1$. Let ϕ be a pure ground state of $A\otimes A^{op}$ with respect to the flow $t \mapsto Ad\, u_t(\alpha_t\otimes\alpha_t)$ (such that $\phi|A_1\otimes A_1$ is pure). Then there is an α-cocycle v such that $\max_{t\in[0,1]}\|v_t - 1\| < \epsilon$ and $\mathrm{Ad}\, v_t\alpha_t$ fixes A_1.*

Proof. We may suppose that u is given as $wv_t(\alpha_t\otimes\alpha_t)(w^*)$, where $w\in\mathcal{U}(A\otimes A^{op})$ and v is a differentiable $\alpha\otimes\alpha$-cocycle with $ih = dv_t/dt|_{t=0}$ such that $\|w-1\|<\delta$ and $\|h\|<\delta$ (see 3.2).

Let $(\pi_\phi, \mathcal{H}_\phi, \Omega_\phi)$ be the GNS representation of $A\otimes A^{op}$ associated with ϕ. Since $\phi_1 = \phi|A_1\otimes A_1$ and $\phi_2 = \phi|(A\cap A_1')\otimes(A\cap A_1')$ are pure, $(\pi_\phi, \mathcal{H}_\phi, \Omega_\phi)$ is identified with $(\pi_{\phi_1}\otimes\pi_{\phi_2}, \mathcal{H}_{\phi_1}\otimes\mathcal{H}_{\phi_2}, \Omega_{\phi_1}\otimes\Omega_{\phi_2})$, where $(\pi_{\phi_i}, \mathcal{H}_{\phi_i}, \Omega_{\phi_i})$ is the GNS triple for ϕ_i.

We define a unitary flow U in $\mathcal{H}_\phi$ by

$$U_t\pi_\phi(x)\Omega_\phi = \pi_\phi \mathrm{Ad}\, u_t(\alpha_t\otimes\alpha_t)(x)\Omega_\phi, \quad x\in A\otimes A^{op}.$$

Let H be the generator of U. Since ϕ is a ground state, we have that $H\geq 0$ and $H\Omega_\phi = 0$. Let $H_0 = \pi_\phi(w^*)H\pi_\phi(w) - \pi_\phi(h)$, which is a self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}_\phi$ with the domain $\mathcal{D}(H_0) = \pi_\phi(w^*)\mathcal{D}(H)$ and let E_0 be the spectral measure of H_0. Then, since $\mathrm{Ad}\, u_t\circ(\alpha_t\otimes\alpha_t) = \mathrm{Ad}\, w\circ \mathrm{Ad}\, v_t\circ(\alpha_t\otimes\alpha_t)\circ\mathrm{Ad}\, w^*$, we have that

$$\mathrm{Ad}\, e^{itH_0}\pi_\phi(x\otimes y) = \pi_\phi(\alpha_t(x)\otimes\alpha_t(y)), \quad x, y\in A.$$

Since $H_0 \geq -\|h\| > -\delta$ and $\|H_0\pi_\phi(w^*)\Omega_\phi\| = \|\pi_\phi(hw^*)\Omega_\phi\| < \delta$, we should note that $E_0[-\delta, \delta^{1/2}]\pi_\phi(w^*)\Omega_\phi$ has norm close to 1, or more concretely, $\|[E_0(\delta^{1/2}, \infty)\pi_\phi(w^*)\Omega_\phi\| < \delta^{1/2}$.

Let π denote the representation of A in $\mathcal{H}_\phi$ defined by $\pi(x) = \pi_\phi(x\otimes 1)$, $x\in A$. Since π_ϕ is irreducible, $\pi(A)''$ is a factor. Since H_0 is bounded below, the Borchers' theorem [20] tells us that there is a unitary flow V in $\pi(A)''$ such that $V_t\pi(x)V_t^* = U_t\pi(x)U_t^* = \pi\alpha_t(x)$, $x\in A$. Let H_1 be the generator of V and let E_1 be the spectral measure of H_1. Note that H_1 is bounded below and is unique up to constant.

Let $P' = [\pi(A)E_0[-\delta, \delta^{1/2}]\mathcal{H}_\phi]$ and $P = [\pi(A)'E_0[-\delta, \delta^{1/2}]\mathcal{H}_\phi]$. Note that $P'\in\pi(A)'\cap U'$, $P\in\pi(A)''\cap U'$, and $PP'\geq E_0[-\delta, \delta^{1/2}]$. Then we have:

Lemma 4.5 $PP' \leq E_0[-\delta, \delta + 2\delta^{1/2}]$.

Proof. This is proved in [10], but we give a proof here.

Let $\lambda\in\mathrm{Spec}(UPP')$. Since $[PP'\mathcal{H}_\phi] = [P\pi(A)PE_0[-\delta, \delta^{1/2}]\mathcal{H}_\phi]$, for any $\epsilon' > 0$ there is a $Q\in P\pi(A)P$ and $\xi\in E_0[-\delta, \delta^{1/2}]\mathcal{H}_\phi$ such that $Q\xi\neq 0$ and $\mathrm{Spec}_U(Q\xi)\subset(\lambda-\epsilon', \lambda+\epsilon')$, where $\mathrm{Spec}_U(\zeta)$ is the U-spectrum of ζ, meaning the least closed subset B of $\mathbf{R}$ with $E_0(B)\zeta = \zeta$, for $\zeta\in\mathcal{H}_\phi$ (see [18]). We may assume that $\mathrm{Spec}_{\overline{\alpha}}(Q)\subset(\lambda-\epsilon'-\delta^{1/2}, \lambda+\epsilon'+\delta)$, where $\overline{\alpha}$ is the (weakly continuous) flow $t\mapsto \mathrm{Ad}\, U_t$ on $B(\mathcal{H}_\phi)$ and $\mathrm{Spec}_{\overline{\alpha}}(Q)$ is the $\overline{\alpha}$-spectrum of $Q\in B(\mathcal{H}_\phi)$. Since $Q^*E_0[-\delta, \delta^{1/2}]\neq 0$, there is an $\eta\in E_0[-\delta, \delta^{1/2}]\mathcal{H}_\phi$ such that $Q^*\eta \neq 0$. Since $\mathrm{Spec}_U(Q^*\eta) \subset \mathrm{Spec}_{\overline{\alpha}}(Q^*) + \mathrm{Spec}_U(\eta) \subset (-\lambda - \epsilon' - 2\delta, -\lambda + \epsilon' + 2\delta^{1/2}) \cap \mathrm{Spec}(UPP') \neq \emptyset$ or

$$\lambda \in -\mathrm{Spec}(UPP') + (-\epsilon' - 2\delta, \epsilon' + 2\delta^{1/2}).$$

Since $\epsilon' > 0$ is arbitrary, we get that

$$\lambda \in -\mathrm{Spec}(UPP') + [-2\delta, 2\delta^{1/2}] \subset (-\infty, \delta + 2\delta^{1/2}].$$

Since $\lambda \geq -\delta$, this concludes the proof. □

Hence it follows that for a small $\delta > 0$,

$$\mathrm{Spec}_{\overline{\alpha}}(P\pi(A)''PP') \subset [-2\delta - 2\delta^{1/2}, 2\delta + 2\delta^{1/2}] \subset [-3\delta^{1/2}, 3\delta^{1/2}].$$

Since $P\pi(A)''P \ni Q \mapsto QP' \in P\pi(A)''PP'$ is an isomorphism intertwining $\overline{\alpha}$, it also follows that $\mathrm{Spec}_{\overline{\alpha}}(P\pi(A)''P) \subset [-3\delta^{1/2}, 3\delta^{1/2}]$ and that $\max \mathrm{Spec}(H_1P) - \min \mathrm{Spec}(H_1P) \leq 3\delta^{1/2}$. Hence adjusting a constant to H_1 we may suppose that

$$P \leq E_1[0, 3\delta^{1/2}].$$

Then it automatically follows that $H_1 \geq -\delta^{1/2} - \delta > -2\delta^{1/2}$. Because if $E_1(-\infty, -\delta^{1/2} - \delta') \neq 0$ for some $\delta' > \delta$, there is a non-zero $Q \in \pi(A)''$ such that $Q = E_1(-\infty, -\delta^{1/2} - \delta')QP$ as $\pi(A)''$ is a factor. Then it follows that $\mathrm{Spec}_{\overline{\alpha}}(Q) \subset (-\infty, -\delta^{1/2} - \delta']$. Since there is a $\xi \in E_0[-\delta, \delta^{1/2}]\mathcal{H}_\phi$ such that $Q\xi \neq 0$, we reach the contradiction that $\mathrm{Spec}_U(Q\xi) \subset (-\infty, -\delta'] \subset (-\infty, -\delta)$.

Since $P \geq E_0[-\delta, \delta^{1/2}]$, we have that $E_0[-\delta, \delta^{1/2}] \leq E_1[0, 3\delta^{1/2}]$.

Let π_1 (resp. π_2) denote the representation of A_1 defined by $\pi_1(x) = \pi_{\phi_1}(x \otimes 1)$, $x \in A_1$ (resp. $\pi_2(x) = \pi_{\phi_2}(x \otimes 1)$, $x \in A \cap A_1'$). Note that $\pi = \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$ while $A = A_1 \otimes (A \cap A_1')$. Let $F = [\pi(A)\Omega_\phi] = [\pi_1(A_1)\Omega_{\phi_1}] \otimes [\pi_2(A \cap A_1')\Omega_{\phi_2}] \in \pi(A)'$. We will restrict the representation π to the cyclic subspace $F\mathcal{H}_\phi$ below.

Since $\|(1-F)\pi_\phi(w^*)\Omega_\phi\| < \delta$ and

$$\|(1 - E_1[0, 3\delta^{1/2}])\pi_\phi(w^*)\Omega_\phi\| \leq \|E_0(\delta^{1/2}, \infty)\pi_\phi(w^*)\Omega_\phi\| < \delta^{1/2},$$

we have that $\|FE_1[0, 3\delta^{1/2}]\pi_\phi(w^*)\Omega_\phi - \Omega_\phi\| < 2\delta + \delta^{1/2}$. Let $\Psi = cFE_1[0, 3\delta^{1/2}]\pi_\phi(w^*)\Omega_\phi$ have norm one with $c > 0$. Then we may suppose that $\|\Psi - \Omega_\phi\| < 2\delta^{1/2}$ (for a small $\delta > 0$).

Note that F is given as $F_1 \otimes F_2$, where $F_1 = [\pi_1(A_1)\Omega_{\phi_1}]$ and $F_2 = [\pi_2(A \cap A_1')\Omega_{\phi_2}]$. We choose a maximal abelian W*-subalgebra C_1 (resp. C_2) of $F_1\pi_1(A_1)'F_1$ (resp. $F_2\pi_2(A \cap A_1')'F_2$) so that $C = C_1 \otimes C_2$ is maximal abelian in $F\pi(A)'F$, where C_1 is finite-dimensional. We apply the decomposition theory to the cyclic representation $F\pi$ with the cyclic vector $\Omega_\phi = \Omega_{\phi_1} \otimes \Omega_{\phi_2}$ with respect to this maximal abelian W*-subalgebra C. Let K_i be the character space of C_i and let ν_i be the probability measure on K_i defined by

$Q \in C_i \mapsto \langle Q\Omega_{\phi_i}, \Omega_{\phi_i}\rangle$. Then one can express $(F\pi, F\mathcal{H}_\phi, \Omega_\phi)$ as a direct integral over $(K_1 \times K_2, \nu_1 \otimes \nu_2)$; e.g.,

$$F\mathcal{H}_\phi = \int_{K_1\times K_2}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_1(s_1) \otimes \mathcal{H}_2(s_2) d\nu_1(s_1)d\nu_2(s_2),$$

where $(\pi_i(s), \mathcal{H}_i(s), \xi_i(s))$ is the representation associated with $s \in K_i$. Note that $\pi_i(s)$ is irreducible for almost all $s \in K_i$.

Recall that $\Psi \in F\mathcal{H}_\phi$ and let μ be the probability measure on $K_1 \times K_2$ defined by $Q \in C \mapsto \langle Q\Psi, \Psi\rangle$. Then μ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\nu = \nu_1 \otimes \nu_2$; so we set $f = d\mu/d\nu$. Then we have

$$\Psi = \int_{K_1\times K_2}^{\oplus} \eta(s_1, s_2)f(s_1, s_2)d\nu_1(s_1)d\nu_2(s_2).$$

where $\eta(s_1, s_2)$ is a unit vector in $\mathcal{H}_1(s_1) \otimes \mathcal{H}_2(s_2)$.

Since $(H_1 + 1)^{-1} \in \pi(A)''$, we get a measurable function $(s_1, s_2) \mapsto B(s_1, s_2) \in (\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2)(A)''$ such that

$$(H_1 + 1)^{-1}F = \int_{K_1\times K_2}^{\oplus} B(s_1, s_2)d\nu_1(s_1)d\nu_2(s_2).$$

For almost all $(s_1, s_2) \in K_1 \times K_2$, $B(s_1, s_2)$ is given as $(H_1(s_1, s_2) + 1)^{-1}$, where $H_1(s_1, s_2)$ is self-adjoint with $H_1(s_1, s_2) \geq -2\delta^{-1/2}$. Setting $V_t(s_1, s_2) = e^{itH_1(s_1,s_2)}$, we get that

$$V_tF = \int_{K_1\times K_2}^{\oplus} V_t(s_1, s_2)d\nu_1(s_1)d\nu_2(s_2), \quad t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Since $E_1[0, 3\delta^{1/2}]\Psi = \Psi$, it also follows for almost all (s_1, s_2) that

$$E_1(s_1, s_2)[0, 3\delta^{1/2}]\eta(s_1, s_2) = \eta(s_1, s_2),$$

where $E_1(s_1, s_2)$ is the spectral measure of $H_1(s_1, s_2)$, and that

$$\operatorname{Ad} V_t(s_1, s_2)(\pi_1(s_1) \otimes \pi_2(s_2)) = (\pi_1(s_1) \otimes \pi_2(s_2))\alpha_t.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Omega_\phi - \Psi\| &= \int_{K_1\times K_2} \|\xi_1(s_1) \otimes \xi_2(s_2) - \sqrt{f(s_1, s_2)}\eta(s_1, s_2)\|^2 d\nu_1(s_1)d\nu_2(s_2) \\ &= \int (1 + f(s_1, s_2) - 2\sqrt{f(s_1, s_2)}\operatorname{Re}\langle\xi_1(s_1) \otimes \xi_2(s_2), \eta(s_1, s_2))\rangle d\nu_1(s_1)d\nu_2(s_2). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|\Omega_\phi - \Psi\|^2 < 4\delta$, we have $(s_1, s_2) \in K_1 \times K_2$ such that all the previous conditions are satisfied for this (s_1, s_2) and $f(s_1, s_2) \leq 1$ and

$$1 + f(s_1, s_2) - 2\sqrt{f(s_1, s_2)}\operatorname{Re}\langle\xi_1(s_1) \otimes \xi_2(s_2), \eta(s_1, s_2)\rangle < 4\delta.$$

The latter two conditions imply that

$$1-\mathrm{Re}\langle \xi_1(s_1)\otimes\xi_2(s_2),\eta(s_1,s_2)\rangle \le 1-\sqrt{f(s_1,s_2)}\mathrm{Re}\langle \xi_1(s_1)\otimes\xi_2(s_2),\eta(s_1,s_2)\rangle < 2\delta^{1/2},$$

which in turn implies that

$$\|\xi_1(s_1)\otimes\xi_2(s_2)-\eta(s_1,s_2)\| < 4\delta^{1/2}.$$

Thus we find $s_1\in K_1$ and $s_2\in K_2$ such that the above norm estimate holds, $\pi_i(s_i)$ is irreducible, $\mathrm{Ad}\, V_t(s_1,s_2)$ implements α_t in $\pi_1(s_1)\otimes\pi_2(s_2)$, and

$$E_1(s_1,s_2)[0,3\delta^{1/2}]\eta(s_1,s_2)=\eta(s_1,s_2).$$

We can then find a $z\in\mathcal{U}(A)$ and $b\in A_{sa}$ such that $z\approx 1$, $\pi_1(s_1)\otimes\pi_2(s_2)(z)\xi_1(s_1)\otimes\xi_2(s_2)=\eta(s_1,s_2)$, $\|b\|\approx 0$, and $(H_1(s_1,s_2)+(\pi_1(s_1)\otimes\pi_2(s_2))(b))\eta(s_1,s_2)=0$. Thus we have a small α-cocycle w such that the perturbed $\mathrm{Ad}\, w_t\alpha_t$ has a pure invariant state ψ which is pure on A_1, i.e., $w_t=zv_t\alpha(z^*)$, where v is the α-cocycle defined by $dv_t/dt|_{t=0}=ib$ and $\psi(x)=\langle\pi_1(s_1)\otimes\pi_2(s_2)(x)\xi_1(s_1)\otimes\xi_2(s_2),\xi_1(s_1)\otimes\xi_2(s_2)\rangle,\ x\in A$. Now we are in the situation where we can invoke Lemma 4.3. □

5 AF algebras

To prove Proposition 1.6 we first show it in a special case as follows:

Lemma 5.1 *For any $\epsilon>0$ there exists a $\delta>0$ satisfying the following condition: Let A be a unital C^*-algebra and let α be a flow on A. If D is a unital finite-dimensional abelian C^*-subalgebra of A such that*

$$\sup_{|t|\le 1}\|(\alpha_t-\mathrm{id})|D\|<\delta,$$

then there is an α-cocycle u such that $\max_{|t|\le1}\|u_t-1\|<\epsilon$ and $\mathrm{Ad}\, u_t\alpha_t(x)=x,\ x\in D$.

Proof. The main point here is that we can choose $\delta>0$ independently of the dimensionality of D; otherwise the lemma would be obvious.

Suppose that we are given a unital C*-algebra A and a flow α on A.

Let f be a non-negative C^∞-function on $\mathbf{R}$ of compact support such that $\int f(t)dt=1$. We define a unital completely positive (or CP for short) map α_f on A by

$$\alpha_f(x)=\int f(t)\alpha_t(x)dt.$$

Since $\delta_\alpha\alpha_f(x)=-\int f'(t)\alpha_t(x)dt$, we get that

$$\|\delta_\alpha\alpha_f\|\le\int|f'(t)|dt.$$

Given a small $\epsilon > 0$ we choose such an f satisfying

$$\int |f'(t)|dt < \epsilon,$$

which entails $\|\delta_\alpha \alpha_f\| < \epsilon$. Then we choose $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\delta \int f(t)(1 + |t|)dt < \epsilon^2/2.$$

Suppose that we are given a unital abelian finite-dimensional C*-subalgebra D of A such that $\sup_{|t|\leq 1} \|(\alpha_t - \mathrm{id})|D\| < \delta$, which entails that

$$\|(\alpha_f - \mathrm{id})|D\| < \epsilon^2/2.$$

Then we get that

$$\alpha_f(u)\alpha_f(u)^* \geq 1 - \epsilon^2$$

for any unitary $u \in D$.

What we do in the following is to find a homomorphism Φ of D into A in a close neighborhood of α_f such that the norm $\|\delta_\alpha \Phi\|$ is small depending on $\|\delta_\alpha \alpha_f\|$. To find such a Φ we will follow the strategy taken by Christensen for the proof of 3.3 of [5].

Let (π, U) be a covariant faithful representation of (A, α). By Stinespring's theorem we get a representation ρ of A such that $\mathcal{H}_\rho \supset \mathcal{H}_\pi$, $[\rho(A)\mathcal{H}_\pi] = \mathcal{H}_\rho$, and

$$\pi(\alpha_f(x)) = P\rho(x)|\mathcal{H}_\pi, \quad x \in A,$$

where P is the projection onto $\mathcal{H}_\pi$, i.e., $P = \pi(1)$. This representation ρ can be obtained as follows: We define an inner product on the algebraic tensor product $A \odot \mathcal{H}_\pi$ by

$$\langle \sum_i x_i \otimes \xi_i, \sum_j y_j \otimes \eta_j \rangle = \sum_i \sum_j \langle \alpha_f(y_j^* x_j)\xi_i, \eta_j \rangle.$$

We then define a representation ρ of A on $A \odot \mathcal{H}_\phi$ by

$$\rho(a) \sum_i x_i \otimes \xi_i = \sum_i a x_i \otimes \xi_i.$$

Then $\mathcal{H}_\rho$ is defined as the completion of the quotient of $A \odot \mathcal{H}_\pi$ by the linear subspace consisting of $\sum_i x_i \otimes \xi_i$ with $\| \sum_i x_i \otimes \xi_i\| = 0$ and ρ naturally induces a representation of A on $\mathcal{H}_\rho$, which we will also denote by ρ. We regard $\mathcal{H}_\pi$ as a subspace of $\mathcal{H}_\rho$ by mapping $\mathcal{H}_\pi$ into $A \odot \mathcal{H}_\pi$ by $\xi \mapsto 1 \otimes \xi$, which we can easily check is isometric. For each $t \in \mathbf{R}$ we define an operator V_t on $A \odot \mathcal{H}_\pi$ by

$$V_t \sum_i x_i \otimes \xi_i = \sum_i \alpha_t(x_i) \otimes U_t \xi_i.$$

Then $V = (V_t,\ t \in \mathbf{R})$ induces a unitary flow on $\mathcal{H}_\rho$, which is denoted by the same symbol. Then we check that $V_tP = PV_t$, $U_t = V_tP$, and $V_t\rho(x)V_t^* = \rho\alpha_t(x),\ x \in A$.

Let B be the C*-algebra generated by $\rho(A)$ and P; then it follows that $PBP \subset \pi(A)$. We define a flow β on B by $\beta_t = \operatorname{Ad} V_t|B$, which satisfies that $\beta_t\rho(x) = \rho\alpha_t(x),\ x \in A$, $\beta_t(P) = P$, and $\beta_t\pi(x) = \pi\alpha_t(x)$ for $x \in A$ with $\pi(x) \in PBP$.

By mimicking the proof of 3.3 of Christensen [5], we define

$$R = \int_{\mathcal{U}(D)} \rho(u)P\rho(u)^* d\nu(u) \in \rho(D)' \cap B,$$

where ν is normalized Haar measure on the compact group $\mathcal{U}(D)$. Since $\|\rho(u)P - P\rho(u)\| = \|(1-P)\rho(u)P - P\rho(u)(1-P)\|$ is equal to

$$\max_{z=u,u^*} \|P\rho(z)(1-P)\rho(z)^*P\|^{1/2} = \max_{z=u,u^*} \|1 - \alpha_f(z)\alpha_f(z)^*\|^{1/2},$$

we get that $\|R - P\| \leq \epsilon$. Since P is a projection and $0 \leq R \leq 1$, we have that

$$\operatorname{Spec}(R) \subset [0,\epsilon] \cap [1-\epsilon, 1].$$

If Q denotes the spectral projection of R corresponding to $[1-\epsilon, 1]$, it follows that $Q \in \rho(D)' \cap B$ and

$$\|P - Q\| \leq \|P - R\| + \|R - Q\| \leq 2\epsilon.$$

Let N be the dimension of D and let $(e_i)_{i=1}^N$ be the family of minimal projections in D. Then the above R is also given as $R = \sum_{i=1}^N \rho(e_i)P\rho(e_i)$. We set $a_i = \pi\alpha_f(e_i)$. Since

$$PR^nP = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i^{n+1}$$

for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $n = 0$, we get that

$$Pe^{itR}P = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(it)^n}{n!} a_i^{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i e^{ita_i}.$$

Since

$$\delta_\beta(P\rho(u)P\rho(u)^*P) = \delta_\beta(\pi(\alpha_f(u)\alpha_f(u)^*)) = \pi(\delta_\alpha\alpha_f(u)\alpha_f(u)^* + \alpha_f(u)\delta_\alpha\alpha_f(u)^*)$$

for $u \in \mathcal{U}(D)$, we get that $\|\delta_\beta(PRP)\| < 2\epsilon$. Similarly we get that

$$\|\delta_\beta(PR^nP)\| < (n+1)\epsilon.$$

This implies that $Pe^{itR}P$ belongs to the domain of δ_β for $t \in \mathbf{R}$ and that $\|\delta_\beta(Pe^{itR}P)\| \leq \epsilon(e^{|t|} + e^{|t|}|t| - 1)$, which grows too rapidly in t. Since $\|\delta_\beta(e^{ita_i})\| \leq |t|\|\delta_\beta(a_i)\| \leq \epsilon|t|$, we get, from the expression of $Pe^{itR}P$ in terms of a_i's, that

$$\|\delta_\beta(Pe^{itR}P)\| \leq N\epsilon(1 + |t|),$$

which depends on the dimension N of D. We shall give another estimate of $\|\delta_\beta(Pe^{itR}P)\|$, which grows polynomially in t and is independent of N:

Lemma 5.2 *With P, R as above and $t \in \mathbf{R}$ it follows that $\|\delta_\beta(Pe^{itR}P)\| \le \epsilon(2|t| + t^2/2)$.*

Proof. Note that

$$\delta_\beta(Pe^{itR}P) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(it)^n}{n!} a_i^k \delta_\beta(a_i) a_i^{n-k}.$$

By using

$$\frac{1}{k! \cdot (n-k)!} \int_0^1 s^k (1-s)^{n-k} ds = \frac{1}{(n+1)!},$$

the above equation equals

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \int_0^1 ds \frac{(ist)^k (i(1-s)t)^{n-k}}{k!(n-k)!} (n+1) a_i^k \delta_\beta(a_i) a_i^{n-k}.$$

Substituting $n + 1 = 1 + k + \ell$ in the above formula, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\beta(Pe^{itR}P) \quad = \quad & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_0^1 ds \frac{(ista_i)^k}{k!} \delta_\beta(a_i) \frac{(i(1-s)ta_i)^\ell}{\ell!} \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_0^1 ds \frac{(ista_i)^k}{(k-1)!} \delta_\beta(a_i) \frac{(i(1-s)ta_i)^\ell}{\ell!} \\ & + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_0^1 ds \frac{(ista_i)^k}{k!} \delta_\beta(a_i) \frac{(i(1-s)ta_i)^\ell}{(\ell-1)!}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the sum over i for $k = 0$ and $\ell = 0$ of the first term is zero because $\delta_\beta(\sum_i a_i) = \delta_\beta(P) = 0$. We will evaluate the norm of the rest of the first term by splitting it into three terms $\Sigma_1 = \sum_{k\ge1,\ell\ge1}$, $\Sigma_3 = \sum_{k=0,\ell\ge1}$, and $\sum_{k\ge1,\ell=0}$, where $\sum_i \cdots$ is omitted. Similarly we will split the second term into two terms $\Sigma_2 = \sum_{k\ge1,\ell\ge1}$, and $\sum_{k\ge1,\ell=0}$ and the third term into two $\sum_{k\ge1,\ell\ge1} \sum_i$ and $\Sigma_4 = \sum_{k=0,\ell\ge1} \sum_i$ before evaluation. We can easily see that the unnamed terms can be expressed in terms of the named $\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_4$.

We set

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \rho(e_i) P \delta_\beta(a_i) P \rho(e_i).$$

Since $\|\delta_\beta(a_i)\| \le \epsilon$, we get that $\|T\| \le \epsilon$. If $k \ge 1$ and $\ell \ge 1$, it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i^k \delta_\beta(a_i) a_i^\ell = PR^{k-1} T R^{\ell-1} P.$$

We set

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^{N} P \delta_\beta(a_i) P \rho(e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_\beta(a_i) P \rho(e_i).$$

If $\ell \geq 1$, it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_\beta(a_i)a_i^\ell = SR^{\ell-1}P.$$

We assert that $\|S\| \leq \epsilon$. Since $SS^* = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_\beta(a_i)a_i\delta_\beta(a_i)$ and $0 \leq a_i \leq 1$, we get that

$$SS^* \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_\beta(a_i)^2 = XX^*,$$

where $X = (\delta_\beta(a_1), \delta_\beta(a_2), \ldots, \delta_\beta(a_N)) \in M_{1N}(A)$. We naturally extend α to a flow on $M_{1N}(A) \subset M_N(A)$, which we will also denote by α. Similarly we extend π to a representation of $M_N(A) = M_N \otimes A$ on $\mathbf{C}^N \otimes \mathcal{H}_\pi$. Then, since $\delta_\beta(a_i) = \pi\delta_\alpha\alpha_f(e_i)$, it follows that

$$X = \pi\delta_\alpha\alpha_f(e),$$

where $e = (e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_N)$, which has norm 1. Since $\|\delta_\alpha\alpha_f\| \leq \epsilon$, which depends only on f, we get that $\|X\| \leq \epsilon$. This implies that $\|S\| \leq \epsilon$.

Define a C^∞-function h on $\mathbf{R}$ by

$$h(t) = \frac{e^{it} - 1}{it} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(it)^{k-1}}{k!}$$

and note that $|h(t)| \leq 1$. Since

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(ist)^k}{k!} R^{k-1} = ist \cdot h(stR),$$

we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_1(t) &\equiv \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_0^1 ds \frac{(ista_i)^k}{k!} \delta_\beta(a_i) \frac{(i(1-s)ta_i)^\ell}{\ell!} \\
&= \int_0^1 ds(-s(1-s)t^2)Ph(stR)Th((1-s)tR)P,
\end{aligned}$$

which has the estimate $\|\Sigma_1(t)\| \leq \epsilon t^2/6$. We also have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_2(t) &\equiv \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_0^1 ds \frac{(ista_i)^k}{(k-1)!} \delta_\beta(a_i) \frac{(i(1-s)ta_i)^\ell}{\ell!} \\
&= \int_0^1 ds(-s(1-s)t^2)Pe^{istR}Th((1-s)tR)P,
\end{aligned}$$

which has the estimate $\|\Sigma_2(t)\| \le \epsilon t^2/6$. Furthermore we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_3(t) &\equiv \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_0^1 ds\delta_\beta(a_i)\frac{(i(1-s)ta_i)^\ell}{\ell!}\\ &= \int_0^1 ds\cdot i(1-s)tSh((1-s)tR)P,\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\|\Sigma_3(t)\| \le \epsilon|t|/2$. We also compute:

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_4(t) &\equiv \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_0^1 ds\delta_\beta(a_i)\frac{(i(1-s)ta_i)^\ell}{(\ell-1)!}\\ &= \int_0^1 ds\cdot i(1-s)tSe^{i(1-s)tR}P,\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\|\Sigma_4(t)\| \le \epsilon|t|/2$. Since

$$\delta_\beta(Pe^{itR}P) = \Sigma_1(t) + \Sigma_2(t) + \Sigma_2(-t)^* + \Sigma_3(t) + \Sigma_3(-t)^* + \Sigma_4(t) + \Sigma_4(-t)^*,$$

we get the desired estimate. $\square$

Let g be a C^∞-function on $\mathbf{R}$ of compact support such that $g(t) = 0$ for $t \in [0, 1/3]$ and $g(t) = 1$ for $t \in [2/3, 1]$. We assume that ϵ is sufficiently small; in particular, $\epsilon < 1/3$. Then $Q = g(R)$ is the spectral projection of R corresponding to $[1-\epsilon, 1]$. We have already noted that $\|P - Q\| \le 2\epsilon$, which implies that $\mathrm{Spec}(PQP) \subset [1 - 2\epsilon, 1]$ on $P\mathcal{H}_\rho = \mathcal{H}_\pi$. Thus the support projection of PQP (resp. QPQ) is P (resp. Q).

We define a function $\hat{g}$ on $\mathbf{R}$ by

$$\hat{g}(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int e^{-ist}g(t)dt.$$

Then we know that $\hat{g}$ is a rapidly decreasing C^∞-function and that

$$g(R) = \int \hat{g}(t)e^{itR}dt.$$

Since $Pg(R)P \in D(\delta_\beta)$ and

$$\delta_\beta(Pg(R)P) = \int \hat{g}(t)\delta_\beta(Pe^{itR}P)dt,$$

we get, by the previous lemma, the estimate

$$\|\delta_\beta(Pg(R)P)\| \le \epsilon\int |\hat{g}(t)|(2|t| + t^2/2)dt.$$

Suppose that we give such a function g beforehand and let

$$C_1 = \int |\hat{g}(t)|(2|t| + t^2/2)dt.$$

Then we choose $\epsilon > 0$ so small that $C_1\epsilon$ is sufficiently small. Since $\mathrm{Spec}(PQP) \subset [2/3, 1]$ (on $P\mathcal{H}_\rho = \mathcal{H}_\pi$), we have that $(PQP)^{-1/2} \in D(\delta_\beta)$. In the same way as above we have a constant C_2 such that

$$\|\delta_\beta((PQP)^{-1/2})\| \leq C_2\|\delta_\beta(PQP)\| \leq C_1C_2\epsilon,$$

which we assume is sufficiently small.

We define a CP map Φ of D into $PBP \subset \pi(A)$ by

$$\Phi(x) = (PQP)^{-1/2}PQ\rho(x)QP(PQP)^{-1/2}, \quad x \in D.$$

Let $W = (PQP)^{-1/2}PQ \in B$, which is a partial isometry such that $WW^* = P$, $W^*W = Q$, and $\Phi(x) = W\rho(x)W^*$, $x \in D$. Since $[\rho(x), Q] = 0$ for $x \in D$, Φ is a unital homomorphism. Since $\|PQ - P\| \leq 2\epsilon$, we have the estimate $\|W - P\| \leq 3\epsilon$ (see 2.7 of [4]). Since $P\rho(x)P = \pi\alpha_f(x) \approx \pi(x)$ (up to $\epsilon^2/2$) we get for $x \in D$ with $\|x\| \leq 1$ that

$$\|\Phi(x) - \pi(x)\| \leq 3\epsilon + \epsilon^2/2.$$

Thus Φ is an injective homomorphism from D into $PBP \subset \pi(A)$ such that Φ is close to $\pi|D$.

Let $x = \sum_{i=1}^N x_ie_i \in D$ be such that $\|x\| \leq 1$, i.e., $\max_i |x_i| \leq 1$. For the same reasoning as for $Pe^{itR}P$ in the above lemma, we can conclude that $Pe^{itR}\rho(x)P \in D(\delta_\beta)$ with the same estimate

$$\|\delta_\beta(Pe^{itR}\rho(x)P)\| \leq \epsilon(2|t| + t^2/2).$$

(In the proof of the above lemma we just have to replace T and S by

$$T' = \sum_{i=1}^N x_ie_iP\delta_\beta(a_i)P\rho(e_i) \text{ and } S' = \sum_{i=1}^N x_iP\delta_\beta(a_i)P\rho(e_i),$$

respectively. Both T' and S' have the same estimates $\|T'\| \leq \epsilon$ and $\|S'\| \leq \epsilon$ as before since $\|x\| \leq 1$.) Thus we get that $PQ\rho(x)QP \in D(\delta_\beta)$ and hence $\Phi(x) \in D(\delta_\beta)$; moreover, since $\|\delta_\beta((PQP)^{-1/2})\| \leq C_1C_2\epsilon$, $\|(PQP)^{-1/2}\| \leq (1-2\epsilon)^{-1/2}$, and $\|\delta_\beta(PQ\rho(x)P)\| \leq C_1\epsilon$, we have that

$$\|\delta_\beta(\Phi(x))\| \leq 2C_1C_2\epsilon(1-2\epsilon)^{-1/2} + (1-2\epsilon)^{-1}C_1\epsilon$$

for $x \in D$ with $\|x\| \leq 1$.

Identifying A with $\pi(A)$ and summing up the above arguments, we have proved the following assertion. For any $\epsilon > 0$ there is an injective homomorphism Φ of D into A

such that $\|\Phi - \mathrm{id}\| < \epsilon$ and $\Phi(D) \subset D(\delta_\alpha)$ and $\|\delta_\alpha|\Phi(D)\| < \epsilon$. By 4.2 of [5] such a Φ is implemented by a unitary $w \in A$ such that $\|w - 1\| \leq 2\epsilon$ (and $\Phi(x) = wxw^*,\ x \in D$).

Let $D_1 = \Phi(D)$. We define $h = h^* \in A$ by

$$ih = \int_{\mathcal{U}(D_1)} \delta_\alpha(u)u^* d\nu(u),$$

where ν is normalized Haar measure on the compact unitary group $\mathcal{U}(D_1)$. Then it follows that $\|h\| \leq \epsilon$ and $[ih, x] = \delta_\alpha(x),\quad x \in D_1$. Let u denote the differentiable α cocycle such that $\frac{d}{dt}u_t|_{t=0} = -ih$. We set $v_t = w^* u_t \alpha_t(w)$, which is an α-cocycle such that

$$\max_{|t|\leq 1} \|v_t - 1\| \leq 2\|w - 1\| + \epsilon \leq 5\epsilon$$

and

$$\mathrm{Ad}\, v_t\alpha_t(x) = \mathrm{Ad}\, w^* \mathrm{Ad}\, u_t\alpha_t\Phi(x) = \mathrm{Ad}\, w^*\Phi(x) = x,$$

for $x \in D$. This concludes the proof of Lemma 5.1. □

It is instructive to see what Φ is. By computation, since $Q = g(R)$, we have that $PQ\rho(e_i)P = a_i g(a_i)$. Since $\mathrm{Spec}(a_i) \subset [0, \epsilon] \cup [1 - \epsilon, 1]$, if g_1 is a continuous function on $\mathbf{R}$ such that $g = 0$ on $[0, 1/3]$ and $g(t) = t$ on $[2/3, 1]$, we get $g_1(a_i) = a_i g(a_i)$. Thus it follows, with such a g_1, that

$$\Phi(e_i) = b_{g_1}^{-1/2} g_1(\alpha_f(e_i)) b_{g_1}^{-1/2},$$

where $b_{g_1} = \sum_{j=1}^N g_1(\alpha_f(e_j))$. (Since there is freedom in the above proof, we may as well take g instead of g_1 in the above formula (with a small but different $\|\delta_\alpha|\Phi(D)\|$). Thus the spectral projections q_i's of $\alpha_f(e_i)$'s corresponding to $[1 - \epsilon, 1]$ may not be mutually orthogonal, but $(\sum_j q_j)^{-1/2} q_i (\sum_j q_j)^{-1/2}$'s are mutually orthogonal projections.)

Proof of Proposition 1.6

What we have to show is that Lemma 5.1 follows without the assumption that D is abelian. Hence suppose that D is a unital finite-dimensinal C*-subalgebra of A such that

$$\sup_{|t|\leq 1} \|(\alpha_t - \mathrm{id})|D\| < \delta,$$

where δ is the one obtained for ϵ in Lemma 5.1. Let Z be a maximal abelan C*-subalgebra of D. Then by Lemma 5.1 we get an α-cocycle u such that $\mathrm{Ad}\, u_t\alpha_t|Z = \mathrm{id}$ and $\max_{|t|\leq 1} \|u_t - 1\| < \epsilon$.

Let $(e_{ij}^{(k)})$ be a family of matrix units of D such that the linear span of $e_{ii}^{(k)}$ for all i and k equals Z. We set

$$v_t = \sum_k \sum_i e_{i1}^{(k)} \mathrm{Ad}\, u_t\alpha_t(e_{1i}^{(k)}),$$

for $t \in \mathbf{R}$, which is an $\mathrm{Ad}\, u\alpha$-cocycle. Then we have that

$$\mathrm{Ad}(v_t u_t)\alpha_t(e_{ab}^{(c)}) = e_{a1}^{(c)} \mathrm{Ad}\, u_t\alpha_t(e_{1a}^{(c)} e_{ab}^{(c)} e_{b1}^{(c)}) e_{1b}^{(c)} = e_{ab}^{(c)},$$

i.e., $\mathrm{Ad}(v_tu_t)\alpha_t|D = \mathrm{id}$. Since $\|v_t - 1\|$ is equal to

$$\|\sum_{i,k} e_{i1}^{(k)}(\mathrm{Ad}\, u_t\alpha_t(e_{1i}^{(k)}) - e_{1i}^{(k)})\| = \max_{i,k} \|\mathrm{Ad}\, u_t\alpha_t(e_{1i}^{(k)}) - e_{1i}^{(k)}\| \leq \|(\mathrm{Ad}\, u_t\alpha_t - \mathrm{id})|D\|,$$

it follows that $\max_{|t|\leq 1} \|v_tu_t - 1\| \leq 3\epsilon + \delta$. Since $t \mapsto v_tu_t$ is an α-cocycle, this concludes the proof.

Now we turn to the proof of Lemma 1.2. We start with the following lemma.

Lemma 5.3 *Let α be a flow on a unital AF algebra A. Suppose that there is an increasing sequence (A_n) of finite-dimensional C*-subalgebras of A such that $\bigcup_n A_n$ is dense in A and*

$$\sup_{t\in[0,1]} \mathrm{dist}(\alpha_t(A_n), A_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Then for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is an α-cocycle u and a subsequence (n_i) in $\mathbf{N}$ such that $\mathrm{Ad}\, u_t\alpha_t|A_{n_i} \cap A'_{n_i} = \mathrm{id}$ for all i and $\max_{t\in[0,1]} \|u_t - 1\| < \epsilon$.

Proof. Let e be a non-zero central projection of A_n. Then for any projection $p \in A_n$ different from e, we have that $\|e - p\| = 1$.

If $\mathrm{dist}(\alpha_t(A_n), A_n) < \delta$ for $t \in [0, 1]$ for a small δ, then it follows that $\|\alpha_t(e) - e_t\| < \delta$ for some $e_t \in A_n$. We may assume that e_t is a projection by replacing δ by 2δ. Then from the above remark we get that $e_t = e$. Thus we have that $\|\alpha_t(e) - e\| < 2\delta$ for all projections $e \in A_n \cap A'_n$ and for $t \in [0, 1]$. Since any element $x \in A_n \cap A'_n$ with $0 \leq x \leq 1$ is a convex combination of projections in $A_n \cap A'_n$, it follows that $\sup_{t\in[0,1]} \|(\alpha_t - \mathrm{id})|A_n \cap A'_n\|$ converges to zero as $n\rightarrow\infty$.

By Lemma 5.1 there is an $n_1 \in \mathbf{N}$ and an α-cocycle $u^{(1)}$ such that $\max_{t\in[0,1]} \|u_t^{(1)} - 1\| < \epsilon/2$ and $\mathrm{Ad}\, u_t^{(1)}\alpha_t|A_{n_1} \cap A'_{n_1} = \mathrm{id}$. Let $Z_1 = A_{n_1} \cap A'_{n_1}$. Since $\mathrm{Ad}\, u^{(1)}\alpha$ leaves $A \cap Z'_1$ invariant, $\bigcup_{n>n_1} A_n \cap Z'_1$ is dense in $A \cap Z'_1$, and $\sup_{t\in[0,1]} \mathrm{dist}(\mathrm{Ad}\, u_t^{(1)}\alpha_t(A_n \cap Z'_1), A_n \cap Z'_1)\rightarrow 0$ as $n\rightarrow\infty$, we can repeat this argument for $\mathrm{Ad}\, u^{(1)}\alpha|A \cap Z'_1$ and $(A_n \cap Z'_1)_{n>n_1}$ with ϵ replaced by $\epsilon/2$. Thus we have an $n_2 > n_1$ and an $\mathrm{Ad}\, u^{(1)}\alpha$-cocycle $u^{(2)}$ in $A \cap Z'_1$ such that $\mathrm{Ad}(u_t^{(2)}u_t^{(1)})\alpha_t$ is the identity on the center of $A_{n_2} \cap Z'_1$ and $\max_{t\in[0,1]} \|u_t^{(2)} - 1\| < \epsilon/4$. It then follows that $\mathrm{Ad}(u_t^{(2)}u_t^{(1)})\alpha_t|A_{n_i} \cap A'_{n_i} = \mathrm{id}$ for $i = 1, 2$ and that $t \mapsto u_t^{(2)}u_t^{(1)}$ is an α-cocycle such that $\max_{t\in[0,1]} \|u_t^{(2)}u_t^{(1)} - 1\| < \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/4$. In this way we can complete the proof. □

Now we assume that α fixes each central projection of A_n for all n. Let C denote the C*-subalgebra generated by $\bigcup_n(A_n \cap A'_n)$, which is an AF abelian C*-algebra. Let $B = A \cap C'$. Since α fixes each element of C, α restricts to a flow on B, which we will denote by β.

Let $B_n = A_n \cap C'$, which is the relative commutant of the C*-subalgebra C_n in A_n, where C_n is generated by $\bigcup_{k=1}^n(A_k \cap A'_k)$. Thus there is a norm one projection of A onto $A \cap C'$, sending A_n onto $B_n = A_n \cap C'_n$ and B is an AF algebra. We identify C with the continuous functions $C(\Gamma)$, where Γ is the compact Hausdorff space of characters of C. Then we can regard B as the C*-algebra of continuous sections over Γ; the fiber at $\gamma \in \Gamma$ will be denoted by B^γ, which is a UHF algebra (or a matrix algebra), and the canonical map of B onto B^γ will be denoted by $\Phi^\gamma : x \mapsto x(\gamma)$. To see what B^γ is, we find a decreasing sequence (e_n) of projections such that $e_n \in C_n$ is minimal with $\gamma(e_n) = 1$; then B^γ is obtained as the inductive limit of the sequence $e_1A_1e_1 \rightarrow e_2A_2e_2 \rightarrow e_3A_3e_3 \rightarrow \cdots$, where the map of $e_nA_ne_n = B_ne_n = (A_n \cap C_n')e_n$ into $e_{n+1}A_{n+1}e_{n+1}$ is given by $x \mapsto xe_{n+1}$. Let B_n^γ denote the image of $e_nA_ne_n$ in B^γ. We define a flow β^γ on B^γ by the requirement that $\Phi^\gamma(\beta_t(x)) = \beta_t^\gamma(\Phi^\gamma(x)),\ x \in B$.

Since $\sup_{t\in[0,1]} \mathrm{dist}(\alpha_t(A_n), A_n) \rightarrow 0$, we obtain that

$$\sup_{t\in[0,1]} \mathrm{dist}(\beta_t^\gamma(B_n^\gamma), B_n^\gamma) \rightarrow 0$$

for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Thus, by 4.1, each β^γ is a cocycle perturbation of a UHF flow. To show that α is a cocycle perturbation of an AF flow, we would have to use the fact that the convergence in the above display is uniform in $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

We shall prove the first half of Lemma 1.2 as follows. Suppose, in particular, that $\sup_{t\in[0,1]} \mathrm{dist}(\alpha_t(A_1), A_1)$ is sufficiently small. We have to show that there is an α-cocycle w such that $\sup_{t\in[0,1]} \|w_t - 1\|$ is arbitrarily small and $\mathrm{Ad}\, w_t\alpha_t(A_1) = A_1$.

Suppose that the center of A_1 is K-dimensional, being spanned by minimal central projections $z_1, \ldots, z_K$, and choose $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_K \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma_i(z_i) = 1$ for each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, K$. We apply Lemma 4.1 to each $(B^{\gamma_i}, \beta^{\gamma_i})$ (or apply Prop. 1.5 if B^{γ_i} is a matrix algebra); thus we get a γ_i-cocycle u^i such that $\|u_t^i - 1\|$ is very small for $t \in [0, 1]$ and $\mathrm{Ad}\, u_t^i\beta_t^{\gamma^i}$ fixes B_1^γ. What is important here is there is a pure ground state ϕ_i for $(B^{\gamma_i}, \mathrm{Ad}\, u^i\beta^{\gamma_i})$, which is still pure on B_1^γ. We may regard ϕ_i as a pure state $\phi_i\Phi^{\gamma_i}$ on z_iAz_i, which is pure on $z_iA_1z_i (\supset B_1^\gamma)$. We consider the system $(z_iAz_i, \alpha^i = \alpha|z_iAz_i)$. By lifting u^i (see below), we then find an α^i-cocycle v^i such that $\max_{t\in[0,1]} \|v_t^i - 1\|$ is sufficiently small and ϕ_i is $\mathrm{Ad}\, v_t^i\alpha_t^i$-invariant. Since $\mathrm{dist}(\mathrm{Ad}\, v_t^i\alpha_t^i(A_1z_i), A_1z_i) \leq 2\|v_t^i - 1\| + \mathrm{dist}(\alpha_t(A_1), A_1)$, which is very small, we apply Lemma 4.3 to get an α^i-cocycle w^i such that $\max_{t\in[0,1]} \|w_t - 1\|$ is small and $\mathrm{Ad}\, w_t^i\alpha^i$ fixes $A_1z_i (\subset z_iAz_i)$. By combining these w^i we get an α-cocycle w such that $\max_{t\in[0,1]} \|w_t - 1\|$ is small and $\mathrm{Ad}\, w_t\alpha_t$ fixes A_1.

Lemma 5.4 *Let $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and let u be a β^γ-cocycle. Then there is an α-cocycle v such that $v_t \in B = A \cap C'$ and $\Phi^\gamma(v_t) = u_t,\ t \in \mathbf{R}$. If $\sup_{t\in[0,1]} \|u_t - 1\| < \delta$ holds, $\sup_{t\in[0,1]} \|v_t - 1\| < \delta$ can be imposed.*

Proof. We find a $w \in \mathcal{U}(B^\gamma)$ and a differentiable β^γ-cocycle z such that $\|w - 1\|$ is small and $u_t = wz_t\beta_t^\gamma(w^*)$. We can then find a $W \in B = A \cap C'$ and $H \in B_{sa}$ such that $\Phi^\gamma(W) = w$ and $\Phi^\gamma(H) = -idz_t/dt|_{t=0}$. Then we find a β-cocycle Z by solving the equation $dZ_t/dt = Z_t\beta_t(iH)$ with $Z_0 = 1$, which satisfies that $\Phi^\gamma(Z_t) = z_t$. We set $v_t = WZ_t\beta_t(W^*)$, which is an α-cocycle with $\Phi^\gamma(v_t) = u_t$.

Since $\sigma \mapsto \|\Phi^\sigma(v_t) - 1\|$ is continuous, $t \mapsto \|\Phi^\sigma(v_t) - 1\|$ is equi-continuous in $\sigma \in \Gamma$, and Γ is totally disconnected, the last condition is satisfied by replacing v by $t \mapsto v_te + 1 - e$, where $e \in C$ is a projection with $\gamma(e) = 1$. □

Let $\epsilon_1 > 0$. For $\epsilon = \epsilon_1/2$ we choose a $\delta_1 \equiv \delta > 0$ as in Lemma 4.3, where we may assume that $\delta_1 < \epsilon_1/2$. For $\epsilon = \delta_1/3 > 0$ we choose a $\delta_2 \equiv \delta > 0$ as in Lemma 4.1 (or Prop. 1.5). We may assume that $\delta_2 < \delta_1$.

Suppose that $\sup_{t\in[0,1]} \mathrm{dist}(\alpha_t(A_1), A_1) < \delta_2$. Let $z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_K$ be the minimal central projections in A_1 and let $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_K \in \Gamma$ be such that $\gamma_i(z_i) = 1$. Then we apply 4.1 to $(B^{\gamma_i}, \beta^{\gamma_i})$ to get a β^{γ_i}-cocycle u^i such that $\sup_{t\in[0,1]} \|u^i_t - 1\| < \delta_1/3$ and $\mathrm{Ad}\, u^i_t \beta^{\gamma_i}_t(B^{\gamma_i}_1) = B^{\gamma_i}_1$. Since β^{γ^i} is a UHF flow, there is a pure ground state ϕ_i for $\mathrm{Ad}\, u^i \beta^{\gamma_i}$. Note that $\phi_i|B^{\gamma_i}_1$ is pure. We regard ϕ_i as a state on z_iAz_i by denoting $\phi_i\Phi^{\gamma_i}|z_iAz_i$ again by ϕ_i. Note that $\phi_i|z_iA_1z_i$ is pure. We lift u^i to an α-cocycle v^i such that $v^i_t \in B$ and $\sup_{t\in[0,1]} \|v^i_t - 1\| < \delta_1/3$. We regard v^i as a cocycle in z_iAz_i with respect to $\alpha|z_iAz_i$. Then it follows that

$$\sup_{t\in[0,1]} \mathrm{dist}(\mathrm{Ad}\, v^i_t\alpha_t(z_iA_1), z_iA_1) < \delta_1.$$

Then applying 4.3 to $(z_iAz_i, \mathrm{Ad}\, v^i_t\alpha_t|z_iAz_i)$ with a pure invariant state ϕ_i, we get a cocycle w^i with respect to $\mathrm{Ad}\, v^i_t\alpha_t|z_iAz_i$ such that

$$\sup_{t\in[0,1]} \|w_t - 1\| < \epsilon/2 \ \text{ and } \ \mathrm{Ad}(w^i_tv^i_t)\alpha_t(z_iA_1) = z_iA_1.$$

Note that $t \mapsto w^i_tv^i_t$ is an α-cocycle in z_iAz_i such that $\sup_{t\in[0,1]} \|w^i_tv^i_t - 1\| < \epsilon_1$. Then $t \mapsto \sum_i w^i_tv^i_t$ is the desired α-cocycle. This completes the proof of the first half of Lemma 1.2.

To prove the latter part, let A_0 be a C*-subalgebra of A_1 such that $\alpha_t(A_0) = A_0$. We have to show that the above α-cocycle can be chosen from $A \cap A_0'$.

Since $\mathrm{dist}(\alpha_t(A_n \cap A_0'), A_n \cap A_0') \le \mathrm{dist}(\alpha_t(A_n), A_n)$, we apply the first part to $\alpha|A \cap A_0'$ to find an α-cocycle u in $A \cap A_0'$ such that $\sup_{t\in[0,1]} \|u_t - 1\| < \epsilon$ and $\mathrm{Ad}\, u_t\alpha_t(A_1 \cap A_0') = A_1 \cap A_0'$. Since $\mathrm{Ad}\, u_t\alpha_t(A_0) = A_0$, we get that $\mathrm{Ad}\, u_t\alpha_t(A_1 \cap Z_0') = A_1 \cap Z_0'$, where $Z_0 = A_0 \cap A_0'$. Note that $\sup_{t\in[0,1]} \mathrm{dist}(\mathrm{Ad}\, u_t\alpha_t(A_1), A_1) < \delta_1 + 2\epsilon$.

Let $z_1, \ldots, z_K$ be the minimal central projections in A_1 as before. If $(A_1 \cap Z_0')z_i$ is the direct sum of $L_i + 1$ factors, there are L_i partial isometries $w_1, \ldots, w_{L_i}$ in A_1z_i such that $w_j^*w_j = w_1^*w_1$ is a minimal projection invariant under $\mathrm{Ad}\, u\alpha$, $w_jw_j^*$ is a minimal projection invariant under $\mathrm{Ad}\, u\alpha$, and A_1z_i is generated by $(A_1 \cap Z_0')z_i$ and $w_j,\ j = 1, \ldots, L_i$.

Since $\mathrm{Ad}\, u_t\alpha_t(w_j)$ is almost contained in A_1 (up to the order of $\delta_1 + 2\epsilon$) for $t \in [0,1]$, it follows that $\|\mathrm{Ad}\, u_t\alpha_t(w_j) - c_tw_j\| < \delta_1 + 2\epsilon$ for some $c_t \in \mathbf{C}$ for $t \in [0,1]$. Note that $t \mapsto w_j\mathrm{Ad}\, u_t\alpha_t(w_j^*)$ is a cocycle with respect to $\mathrm{Ad}\, u\alpha|w_jw_j^*Aw_jw_j^*$.

Lemma 5.5 *For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following condition: If v is an α-cocycle such that $v_t \overset{\delta}{\in} \mathbf{C}1$ for $t \in [0,1]$, then there is a $p \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $\|v_t - e^{ipt}1\| < \epsilon$ for $t \in [0,1]$.*

Thus we find a $\lambda_j \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $\sup_{t\in[0,1]} \|w_j\mathrm{Ad}\, u_t\alpha_t(w_j^*) - e^{i\lambda_jt}w_jw_j^*\| \approx 0$. We extend a cocycle $t \mapsto e^{-i\lambda_jt}w_j\mathrm{Ad}\, u_t\alpha_t(w_j^*)$ in $w_jw_j^*(A \cap (A_1 \cap Z_0')')$ to a cocycle v^j in $p_j(A \cap (A_1 \cap Z_0')')$, where p_j is the central support projection of $w_jw_j^*$ in $A_1 \cap Z_0'$ (or in $z_i(A_1 \cap Z_0'))$. Note that $\|v_t^j - p_j\| = \|e^{-i\lambda_j t} w_j \mathrm{Ad}\, u_t \alpha_t(w_j^*) - w_j w_j^*\|$. We define $v_t = \sum_j v_t^j + p_0$, where p_0 is the central support of $w_j^* w_j = w_1^* w_1$ in $z_i(A_1 \cap Z_0')$. Then v is a cocycle in $z_i A \cap (A_1 \cap Z_0')'$ with respect to $\mathrm{Ad}\, u\, \alpha$, $\sup_{t \in [0,1]} \|v_t - z_i\| \approx 0$, and

$$\mathrm{Ad}(v_t u_t)\alpha_t(w_j) = e^{-i\lambda_j t} w_j.$$

Thus, since $A_1 \cap Z_0'$ and all w_j generate $z_i A_1$ and $A_1 \cap Z_0' \supset A_0$, we get that

$$\mathrm{Ad}(v_t u_t)\alpha_t(z_i A_1) = z_i A_1 \ \text{ and } \ \mathrm{Ad}(v_t u_t)\alpha_t(z_i A_0) = z_i A_0.$$

We apply this argument to each z_i. This concludes the proof of Lemma 1.2.

Proof of 5.5 We suppose that for a small $\delta > 0$ there is a continuous function $f : [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that $\|u_t - e^{if(t)}1\| < \delta$ and $f(0) = 0$. For $t_1, t_2 \in [0,1]$ with $t_1 + t_2 \leq 1$, we have that $\|u_{t_1}\alpha_{t_1}(u_{t_2}) - e^{if(t_1)+if(t_2)}1\| < 2\delta$, which implies that $|e^{i(f(t_1+t_2)-f(t_1)-f(t_2))} - 1| < 3\delta$. Letting $\delta_0 = \arcsin 3\delta$, we get

$$|f(t_1 + t_2) - f(t_1) - f(t_2)| < \delta_0.$$

We replace f by $f(t) - f(1)t$, which still satisfies the above inequality for $t_1, t_2, t_1 + t_2 \in [0,1]$. With this replacement we have assumed that $f(0) = 0 = f(1)$.

Let $\mu = \max\{|f(t)| \mid t \in [0,1]\}$. Suppose that $\mu > 3\delta_0$. There is an $s \in [0,1]$ such that $|f(s)| = \mu$. If $s \leq 1/2$, then $|f(2s)| > 2|f(s)| - \delta_0 = 2\mu - \delta_0 > \mu + 2\delta_0$, which is a contradiction. Hence we have that $s > 1/2$. Then $|f(1-s)| > |f(s)| - \delta_0$, and hence $|f(2(1-s))| > 2|f(1-s)| - \delta_0 > 2|f(s)| - 3\delta_0 > \mu$, which is again a contradiction. Thus we should have that $\mu \leq 3\delta_0$. This implies that

$$\|u_t - e^{if(1)t}1\| < |e^{if(t)} - e^{if(1)t}| + \delta < 3\delta_0 + \delta.$$

Since $\delta_0 \approx 3\delta$, this concludes the proof.

References

[1] O. Bratteli, Inductive limits of finite-dimensional C*-algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 171 (1972), 195–234.

[2] O. Bratteli and A. Kishimoto, AF flows and continuous symmetries, Rev. Math. Phys. 13 (2001), 1505–1528.

[3] O. Bratteli and D.W. Robinson, Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics, I, Springer, 1979.

[4] E. Christensen, Perturbations of type I von Neumann algebras, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 9 (1975), 395–405.

[5] E. Christensen, Perturbations of operator algebras, Invent. Math. 43 (1977), 1–13.

[6] E. Christensen, Near inclusions of C*-algebras, Acta Math. 144 (1980), 249–265.

[7] A.J. Dean, Classification of AF flows, Canad. Math. Bull. 46 (2003), 164–177.

[8] D.E. Evans and A. Kishimto, Trace scaling automorphisms of certain stable AF algebras, Hokkaido Math. J. 26 (1997), 211–224.

[9] R.V. Kadison, Irreducible operator algebras, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 43 (1957), 273–276.

[10] A. Kishimoto, Extremal invariant states with a spectrum sondition and Borchers' theorem, Lett. Math. Phys. 3 (1979), 193–196.

[11] A. Kishimoto, The Rohlin property for automorphisms of UHF algebras, J. reine angew. Math. 465 (1995), 183–196.

[12] A. Kishimoto, Locally representable one-parameter automorphism groups of AF algebras and KMS states, Rep. Math. Phys. 45 (2000), 333–356.

[13] A. Kishimoto, Examples of one-parameter automorphism groups of UHF algebras, Commun. Math. Phys. 216 (2001), 395–408.

[14] A. Kishimoto, UHF flows and the flip automorphism, Rev. Math. Phys. 13 (2001), 1163–1181.

[15] A. Kishimoto, Rohlin property for flows, Contemporary Math. 335 (2003), 195–207.

[16] A. Kishimoto, Central sequence algebras of a purely infinite simple C*-algebra, Canad. J. Math. 56 (2004), 1237–1258.

[17] H. Lin, Almost commuting self-adjoint matrices and applications, Fields Inst. Commun. 13 (1997), 193–233.

[18] G.K. Pedersen, *C*-algebras and their automorphism groups*, Academic Press, 1979.

[19] J. Renault, *A groupoid approach to C*-algebras*, Lecture notes in Math. 793, Springer-Verlag, 1980.

[20] S. Sakai, *Operator algebras in dynamical systems*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1991.

[21] D. Voiculescu, Almost inductive limit automorphisms and embedding into AF-algebras, Ergod. Th. Dyn. Sys. 6 (1986), 475–484.